

ERRATA

The commentary on page 146 should be prefaced with a title heading, "Book Notes," as it was a book note and not a book review.

On page 79, line six, "a compromising rigidity," should read "uncompromising rigidity."

On page 115, instead of footnote 13, should appear:

⁷ Recommendation 12 of the SECOND REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON REVISION OF OHIO PROBATE LAWS OF THE OHIO STATE BAR ASSOCIATION (Jan. 23-25, 1930), contains the following:

"That an adopted child should inherit not only from but also through the adopting parent.

"It is the belief of the Committee that in enacting G. C. 8030 the Legislature intended that adopted children should have the right to inherit not only from but also through the parent, . . ."

Pursuant to this belief, in its third and final report, July 9-12, 1930, p. 132-33, this Committee drafted a proposed new statute which was later adopted by the Legislature and is now General Code, Section 10512-19. Concerning its proposed statute the Committee commented: "This new matter is for the purpose of permitting adopted children to inherit not only from but also through the adopting parent."

⁸ See note 3, *supra*.

On page 139 the following footnotes should appear:

⁹ L. E. Waterman Co. v. Modern Pen Co., 235 U. S. 88 (1914); Horlick's Malted Milk Corp. v. Horlick's Inc., 59 F. (2d) 13 (C. C. A. 9th, 1932), discussed in (1932) 7 WASH. L. REV. 364.

¹⁰ CoCa Cola Co. v. Carlisle bottling Works, 43 F. (2d) 101 (C. C. A. 5th, 1929).

¹¹ Atlas Manufacturing Co. v. Street & Smith Co., 204 Fed. 398 (C. C. A. 8th, 1913); Time, Inc., v. Barshay, 27 F. Supp. 370 (S. D. N. Y., 1939).

¹² Pep Boys—Manny, Mee and Mack v. Federal Trade Comm., 122 F. (2d) 158 (C. C. A. 3rd, 1941).

