OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION Lane AvenueFFarm, Columbus, Ohio

Fine Contin

Department of Horticulture Mimeograph Series No. 217 January 26, 1961

FIELD TOMATO VARIETY TRIALS - 1960 Columbus, Ohio

Walter N. Brown, W. A. Gould, J. R. Geisman and Wade Schulte*

The data reported herein was obtained at Columbus from the Processing Evaluation Trials conducted under project Hatch 157-1. Fourteen varieties replicated four times were evaluated for processing characteristics and yield (Table 1).

The 1960 field tomato variety trials normally conducted at the Northwestern Substation, Hoytville, Ohio, were subjected to a severe hail storm on July 19. The damage from hail was such that all leaves were knocked from the plants and stems were severely abraided and bruised. About 10 percent of all fruits were knocked from the plants and the remaining fruit were pock-marked. This planting was abandoned for trial purposes but it was observed for several weeks following the damage to determine the rate of recovery and subsequent condition.

It was interesting to note that the determinate type plants made no further vegetative growth but the indeterminate types developed axillary shoots and a late set of fruit which matured although smaller in size than normal. The damaged fruit remaining intact on both the determinate and indeterminate plants matured but was of inferior quality. The mature fruits showed corky scar tissue where struck by hail, some walls had been broken exposing locular contents, these had also corked over and such fruits were misshapen.

CULTURAL INFORMATION

Plants were greenhouse and frame grown, 70 per standard flat, with the exception of Fireball, Glamour, Rutgers, and Urbana, which were southern grown. Plants were set in the field on June 3, 1960, with 10-52-17 starter - 3 lbs/50 gal. water. Approximately 20 T of manure was plowed down with a rye cover crop on April 21, and 675 lbs/A of 15-15-15 was drilled and disked in on April 28. Plots consisted of two rows of 38 plants each spaced 24" in 5' rows. Six sprays of either Manzate, Fired Copper or Zerlate with suitable insecticide were applied at approximately weekly intervals from June 17 to July 28. Irrigation was applied from overhead Skinner system as needed.

HARVEST DATA

The first harvest was made on August 17 and the last on October 7. Fruits were picked into big boxes and hauled to processing laboratory where all data were recorded. All fruits were graded under MacBeth Examolite lights on basis of U.S. No. 1, No. 2 for color, No. 2 for defects, and culls. These grades are recorded as percentages of total for each individual harvest and for total season's yield. The yield and grade data are recorded in Table 1.

Department of Horticulture, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, 1827 Neil Avenue, Columbus 10, Ohio.

SOURCES OF SEED

| Code | Source |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| H ₁ | Joseph Harris Co., Inc., Moreton Farms, Rochester 11, N. Y. |
| н ₃ | H. J. Heinz, Crop Research Dept., Bowling Green, Chio. |
| L | Libby McNeill & Libby. L. A. Holl, Crop Research Dept., Leipsic, Ohio |
| °2 | Ohio Agr. Exp. Sta. L. J. Alexander, Depts. of Botany and Plant Path., Wooster, Ohio |
| P ₃ | Purdue Agr. Exp. Sta. Mark Tomes, Dept. of Hort., West Lafayette, Indiana. |
| So | Southern Grown plants. |
| т ₁ | Texas Agr. Exp. Sta. P.A. Young, Tomato Disease Lab., Jacksonville, Texas. |
| т2 | Texas Agr. Exp. Sta. H. C. Mohr, Dept. of Hort., College Station, Texas. |

V 60 - p. 3

J's maje

FIELD TOMATO VARIETY TRIALS - 1960 Lane Ave. Farm, Columbus, Chio

(4 replications)

| | | | Dane ive, rarm, coramous, onto | | | | (+ lcp11cdc10m0) | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Variety and Lot Number | Source | Date of Harvest | Grades No. 1 No. 2C No. 2D Culls | | | | Total Yield per Acre | Percentage of Total Yield | No. of Fruits per 25 lbs. |
| | Sou | | % | % | % | % | Tons | % | No. |
| 12 C-52 T-60 | L ₃ | Aug. 17 Sept. 1 19 Oct. 8 Total | 84.6 57.5 80.0 61.5 70.9 | 6.3 23.0 7.5 18.0 | 8.3 15.0 11.5 14.0 12.3 | 0.8 4.5 1.0 5.5 2.9 | 1.08 8.11 3.29 5.84 18.33 | 5.8 44.4 17.9 31.9 | 134.3 125.5 150.3 157.0 141.8 |
| 3 Fireball So.Pl | so | Aug. 17 30 Sept.19 Oct. 7 Total | 61.5 61.0 51.7 57.1 | 6.5 10.6 17.7 11.4 | 21.0 21.0 21.5 21.2 | 11.0 8.4 9.1 9.3 | 2.96 5.86 .18 3.34 12.35 | 23.9 47.4 1.5 27.2 | 92.13 135.30 141.0 132.0 125.1 |
| 2 Moreton Hyb 1496 | Н1 | Aug. 17 39 Sept.19 Oct. 7 Total | 44.0 50.8 53.0 49.3 | 10.0 24.4 9.8 14.7 | 44.0 19.4 31.4 | 2.0 5.4 5.8 4.4 | 1.66 6.87 2.28 4.82 15.63 | 10.6 43.9 14.5 31.0 | 52.6 72.8 106.5 87.3 79.8 |
| 9 Heinz 1370 | нз | Aug. 17 30 Sept.19 Oct. 7 Total | 77.0 76.5 71.0 74.8 | 12.5 12.5 12.5 13.5 12.8 | 8.0 11.0 9.0 9.3 | 2.5 0.0 6.5 3.1 | 8.01 2.77 10.85 21.64 | 37.0 12.7 50.3 | 74.8 109.3 99.8 94.6 |
| 14 Urbana (Tecumseh) So.pl. | so | Aug. 17 Sept. 1 19 Oct. 8 Total | 76.8 58.3 76.5 67.5 69.9 | 12.6 17.0 10.0 13.0 13.9 | 9.4 18.5 11.5 17.0 14.0 | 1.2 .9 1.0 2.5 1.9 | 1.07 6.78 4.24 <u>5.23</u> 17.32 | 6.1 39.2 24.4 30.3 | 117.0 95.3 128.7 118.3 114.8 |

PIELD TOMA'.O VARIETY TRIALS - 1960 Lane Ave. Farm, Columbus, Ohio

VC 60- p. 4

Total Percent-No. of Grades Variety and Date of Yield age of Fruits Source Lot No. Harvest Tot al per per No. 2C No. 2D Culls No. 1 25 1bs. Acre Yield % % % % Tons % No. 13 Hotset T-29 Aug. 17 70.2 10.6 15.6 3.6 1.65 12.6 113.0 Sept. 1 60.0 11.5 22.5 6.10 6,0 45.4 114.3 6,2 19 81.9 10,9 159.0 1.71 1.0 13.1 57.5 18.5 1.6.5 Oct. 8 7.0 3,63 27.9 166.8 67.4 11.7 16,4 13, 15 Total 139.5 11 Plainsman T_2 T-60 Aug. 17 76.3 10.7 12,1 1.07 92.8 ຸ 9 7.0 55.0 Sept. 1 12.0 27.5 6.66 5.5 44,2 82.0 62.9 6,8 19 24.1 6.2 2,11 13.9 91.5 Oct. 8 52.5 19.0 34,9 18.5 10.0 5,29 103.3 61.7 12.0 20.7 Tota1 5.6 15.13 93.7 Hoytville No. 6 T-6002 Aug. 17 62,50 30 6.50 36.60 1.0 6, 27 38.6 65.3 57.75 28,25 Sept. 19 2,25 98.3 11.75 3.06 18.8 60.40 13.00 3,20 Oct. 7 6.91 82.3 23,30 42.6 60.20 15,90 21.70 2,20 16,23 82.0 Aug. 30 1 Cardinal Hyb. 1469 H₁ 59.00 2.00 37.00 2.00 39.5 64.8 6.05 55.00 23,00 20.50 1.50 88.9 Sept. 19 3.10 20.3 Oct. 7 62.00 11.00 20.50 40.2 6.50 5.31 81.02 58.70 12.00 Tota1 26,00 3,30 13.24 78.3 KC 146 H 1489 Aug. 17 30 9.00 19.50 1.50 61.3 70.0 5.02 23.1 Sept. 19 76.0 19.50 4.50 0.0 6,19 28.5 81.5 72.5 Oct. 7 14.00 10.50 48.4 77.5 3.0 10.46 72.8 14.20 11.50 1.50 21.68 73.4 Total

(4 replications)

VC - 60, p. 5

| Variety and Lot Number | | Source | Date of Harvest | Grades | | | | Total Yield | Percentage of | No. of Fruits per |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | No. 1 | No. 2C | No. 2D | Culls | per Acre Tons | Total Yield | Lbs. |
| | | | | % | % | % | % | | | |
| 4 Glamour | So. pl. | so | Aug. 17 30 Sept. 19 Oct. 7 Total | 65.0 71.0 66.5 67.5 | 17.0 22.0 20.5 19.8 | 17.0 5.75 7.5 10.1 | 1.0 1.25 5.5 2.6 | 4.89 4.35 7.71 16.86 | 28.8 25.6 45.6 | 74.8 106.0 90.0 90.3 |
| 10 Epoch | T- 60 | P ₁ | Aug. 17 30 Sept. 19 Oct. 8 | 58.9 71.5 64.5 65.0 | 6.7 11.5 16.0 11.4 | 21.0 14.0 16.0 17.0 | .4 4.0 3.5 2.6 | 4.09 1.98 5.12 11.19 | 36.5 17.7 45.8 | 76.3 121.1 109.5 102.3 |
| 5 KC 135 | 49 V3 | ^В 2 | Aug. 17 30 Sept. 19 Oct. 7 Total | 72.0 76.5 <u>76.0</u> 74.8 | 12.0 17.5 12.5 14.0 | 16.0 4.25 10.50 | 0.0 1.75 1.0 | 3.65 7.01 5.97 16.63 | 21.9 42.1 36.0 | 59.3 85.6 72.5 |
| 8 Rutgers | So. pl | SO | Aug. 17 30 Sept. 19 Oct. 7 Total | 58.5 76.0 62.9 65.8 | 6.5 15.3 12.7 11.5 | 29.5 7.1 20.1 18.9 | 5.5 1.6 5.3 3.8 | 3.33 4.10 4.68 10.54 | 27.4 34.0 38.6 | 91.0 117.0 107.3 105.1 |