

**Information on Sources  
for Appendix in  
*Ballot Battles: The History of Disputed Elections in the United States*  
Oxford University Press, 2016**

**Edward B. Foley  
The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law**

The Appendix to *Ballot Battles: The History of Disputed Elections in the United States* contains three tables concerning overtime statewide elections. Accompanying the three tables in the appendix is a general explanation of the methodology and sources used to generate the data for those tables. This document supplements that general explanation with information on sources used for specific entries in the tables (along with, as needed, additional notes).

The structure of the following information is that for each row, a source that supplies information for multiple columns in a row is identified by the first such column. For example, if a source provides the information for the “Date Conceded” and also the existence (or absence) of a recount or litigation, the source is listed below in connection with the “Data Conceded” column. (Occasionally, a source is repeated to avoid confusion with an intervening source.)

**Table A.1 Overtime Gubernatorial Elections, 1878 to Present**

**1878 OR:** *Initial Margin:* “The Vote on Governor,” *Morning Oregonian*, June 8, 1878; *Date Conceded:* “Oregon,” *Morning Oregonian*, June 21, 1878.

**1879 ME:** *Initial Margin:* “The Result in Maine,” *New York Times*, September 12, 1879; *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Final Margin %:* includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “Quietness in Maine,” *Washington Post*, January 29, 1880; *Litigation:* “Revels in Augusta,” *Washington Post*, January 27, 1880.

**1884 MI:** *Initial Margin:* “Michigan,” *Salt Lake Herald*, November 7, 1884 (citing *Detroit Free Press* figures); *Date Conceded:* “State Official Votes,” *St. Paul Daily Globe* (MN), November 23, 1884; *Recount:* “Brevities,” *St. Joseph Herald* (MI), January 3, 1885.

**1885 NY:** *Initial Margin:* “Pluralities by County,” *New York Tribune*, November 5, 1885; *Initial Margin %:* “About Ten Thousand Plurality for Tweed’s Man,” *New York Times*, November 5, 1885; *Date Conceded:* “Mr. Davenport in Washington,” *Brooklyn Daily Eagle*, November 14, 1885.

**1886 CA:** *Initial Margin:* “California—Returns from the State Election,” *Los Angeles Daily Herald*, November 4, 1886; *Initial Margin %:* “The State Election: Gubernatorial,” *Los Angeles Daily Herald*, November 5, 1886 (dated November 4) (includes third-party totals); *Date Conceded:* “Letter from Mr. Swift,” *Sacramento Record-Union*, November 23, 1886.

**1886 NH:** *Date Conceded:* “Sawyer Gets There,” *Salt Lake City Herald*, June 2, 1887.

**1888 CT:** *Initial Margin:* “Connecticut,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 1888; *Initial Margin %:* “Connecticut,” *Kansas City Gazette*, November 9, 1888 (includes third-party totals); *Date Conceded:* “Connecticut State Officers Elected,” *Washington Post*, January 11, 1889.

**1888 IN:** *Initial Margin:* “The Canvass Ended—Governor,” *Indianapolis News*, November 9, 1888; *Date Conceded:* “An Extra Session,” *Fort Wayne Sentinel* (IN), November 15, 1888.

**1888 WV:** *Initial Margin:* “Democrats Bound to Have it,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 14, 1888; *Date Conceded:* “It Worked,” *Wheeling Daily Intelligencer* (WV), February 5, 1890; *Recount:* “Fleming is Frightened,” *Wheeling Daily Intelligencer* (WV), November 13, 1888; *Litigation:* Fleming v. Commissioners, 31 W. Va. 608 (1888).

**1890 CT:** *Initial Margin:* “Both Parties Claim Connecticut,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 6, 1890; *Litigation:* “A Reply to Merwin—the Latest in the Connecticut Quo Warranto Proceedings,” *New York Times*, May 22, 1891.

**1890 MN:** *Initial Margin:* “Minnesota—Gov. Merriam Elected by a Reduced Plurality,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 6, 1890; *Initial Margin %:* “Gov. Merriam Re-Elected,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 1890; *Date Conceded:* “Full and Official,” *St. Paul Globe* (MN), December 17, 1890.

**1890 NE:** *Initial Margin %:* includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “An Ohio Boy—Now Governor of the Great State of Nebraska,” *Cincinnati Enquirer*, February 7, 1891; *Litigation:* “Refused to Obey Boyd,” *Washington Post*, January 10, 1891.

**1890 NH:** *Initial Margin:* “New Hampshire: Latest Returns Show Decided Gains for the Democrats,” *Evening Star* (DC), November 5, 1890; *Date Conceded:* “Clerk Jewett’s Roll,” *Boston Post*, January 8, 1891.

**1892 MA:** *Date Conceded:* “He Speaks at Last: Lieut-Gov. Haile Will Make No Contest,” *Boston Post*, December 3, 1892.

**1893 RI:** *Initial Margin:* “Democrats Likely to Win,” *New York Times*, April 7, 1893; *Litigation:* “Rhode Island is Republican,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 13, 1893

**1894 CA:** *Initial Margin:* “Estee Gaining,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 9, 1894; *Initial Margin %:* includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “California Legislature, Thirty-First Session,” *Los Angeles Times*, January 11, 1895.

**1894 NV:** *Initial Margin:* “Incomplete Returns of the State,” *Reno Evening Gazette* (NV), November 9, 1894; *Recount:* “No Title,” *Nevada State Journal*, January 6, 1895.

**1894 TN:** *Initial Margin:* “Tennessee Still in Doubt,” *Kansas City Star* (MO), November 8, 1894.

**1896 SD:** *Initial Margin:* “Tabulated Statement of Incomplete Returns,” *Daily Plainsman* (SD), November 6, 1896; *Date Conceded:* “Statewide,” *Little Falls Weekly Transcript* (SD), December 25, 1896.

**1899 KY:** *Initial Margin:* “The Returns by Districts,” *New York Times*, November 10, 1899; *Date Conceded:* “Taylor Gives Up,” *New York Times*, May 22, 1900; *Litigation:* Taylor v. Beckham, 178 U.S. 548 (1900).

**1900 KY:** *Initial Margin:* “Kentucky Still in Dispute,” *Washington Post*, November 8, 1900; *Date Conceded:* “John W. Yerkes Reappointed,” *Washington Post*, November 16, 1900.

**1900 MN:** *Initial Margin:* “Van Sant Wins in Minnesota,” *New York Times*, November 9, 1900; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *New York Times* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Opposed to a Contest,” *Austin Daily Herald* (MN), November 30, 1900.

**1900 NE:** *Initial Margin:* “Dietrich and Poynter,” *Nebraska State Journal*, November 8, 1900; *Date Conceded:* “Poynter Concedes,” *El Paso Herald* (TX), November 13, 1900.

**1902 CA:** *Initial Margin:* “Pardee’s Election Shown by Returns,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 7, 1902; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *Los Angeles Times* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Franklin K. Lane Will Not Contest,” *Oakland Tribune* (CA), November 19, 1902.

**1902 NY:** *Date Conceded:* “Coler Thanks Murphy,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 7, 1902.

**1902 OR:** *Initial Margin:* “Oregon Democrats Win,” *New York Times*, June 7, 1902; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *New York Times* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Mr. Furnish Concedes Defeat,” *Daily Journal*, June 7, 1902.

**1906 KS:** *Initial Margin:* “Democrats Concede Election,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 12, 1906; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *New York Times* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Democrats Give Up at Last,” *Hutchinson News* (KS), November 12, 1906.

**1910 ID:** *Initial Margin:* “Idaho,” *Salt Lake Herald-Republican*, November 9, 1910; “Idaho,” *Charlotte Observer* (NC), November 10, 1910; *Date Conceded:* “Democrat Elected in Idaho,” *Daily Capital Journal* (OR), November 12, 1910.

**1912 IA:** *Initial Margin:* “Leads for Governorship by 49,” *New York Times*, November 8, 1912. *Date Conceded:* “Clarke Is Held Winner of Race by Legislature,” *Cedar Rapids Evening Gazette* (IA), January 15, 1913.

**1912 ID:** *Date Conceded:* “Idaho Safe for Taft,” *Santa Ana Register* (CA), November 7, 1912.

**1912 KS:** *Initial Margin:* “Capper is in the Lead Now,” *Kansas City Star* (KS), November 8, 1912; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *Kansas City Star* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Capper Will Not Contest,” *Kansas City Star* (KS), December 10, 1912; *Litigation:* “Capper Test Case Filed in Supreme Court,” *Lawrence Journal World* (KS), November 22, 1912.

**1912 WA:** *Initial Margin:* “Washington Governor Close,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 8, 1912; *Date Conceded:* “Official Count of State Vote,” *Aberdeen Herald* (WA), December 9, 1912.

**1915 KY:** *Initial Margin:* “Vote Close in Kentucky,” *New York Times*, November 6, 1915; *Initial Margin %:* Estimate based on *New York Times* initial margins; *Date Conceded:* “Election of Stanley Conceded by Morrow,” *Sandusky Register*, November 12, 1915; *Recount:* “Morrow Wins Recount in Hart County Precinct,” *Marysville Public Ledger* (KY), November 9, 1915.

**1916 AZ:** *Initial Margin:* “Campbell is Safe With 170 Vote Lead,” *Bisbee Daily Review* (AZ), November 12, 1916; *Recount:* “Ballot Counting at Phoenix Slow,” *Bisbee Daily Review* (AZ), December 13, 1916; “Douglas Votes in No. 1 Thrown Out by Court as Fraud; Campbell Scores,” *Bisbee Daily Review* (AZ), March 25, 1917; *Litigation:* “Alexander’s Majority 605,” *Daily Capital Journal* (OR), November 18, 1916.

**1916 ID:** *Date Conceded:* “Alexander’s Majority 605,” *Daily Capital Journal* (OR), November 18, 1916; “Alexander Elected in Idaho by a Small Margin,” *Ogden Standard* (UT), December 4, 1916; *Recount:* “Idaho Recount Closely Watched,” *Ogden Standard* (UT), November 16, 1916; *Litigation:* “Alexander Elected in Idaho by a Small Margin,” *Ogden Standard* (UT), December 4, 1916.

**1916 MO:** *Initial Margin:* “Missouri,” *New York Times*, November 10, 1916; *Date Conceded:* “Blind to Vote Fraud,” *Kansas City Times* (MO), January 17, 1917.

**1916 OH:** *Initial Margin:* “Cox Carries Ohio By 17,000 Plurality,” *Democratic Banner* (OH), November 10, 1916; *Date Conceded:* “Republicans Plan to Press Legislative Program Named by Willis in His Message,” *Piqua Daily Caller* (OH), January 2, 1917.

**1916 WV:** *Initial Margin:* “G.O.P. Wins West Virginia,” *Cook County News Herald* (MN), November 22, 1916; “West Virginia Lost,” *Charlotte News* (NC), November 9, 1916; *Date Conceded:* “Views of World Travelers Met in Capital Hotel Lobbies,” *Washington Post*, December 7, 1916.

**1918 AZ:** *Initial Margin:* “Campbell Majority is Now Put at 333,” *Bisbee Daily Review* (AZ), November 10, 1918; *Date Conceded:* “Senator Colter Sends Message of Congratulation [sic] to Campbell as Governor Elect of Arizona,” *Bisbee Daily Review* (AZ), November 22, 1918.

**1918 NY:** *Date Conceded:* “Whitman Drops Recount,” *New York Times*, January 13, 1919; *Litigation:* In re Whitman, 225 NY 21 (1918).

**1919 MD:** *Date Conceded:* “Platform Promises to be Kept—Ritchie,” *Daily News* (MD), November 10, 1919.

**1924 MO:** *Date Conceded:* “Nelson Will Not Contest Baker’s Vote,” *Macon-Chronicle Herald* (MO), December 20, 1924.

**1924 NM:** *Initial Margin:* “Coolidge Wins in New Mexico by the Latest Returns,” *Santa Cruz Evening News* (CA), November 7, 1924; *Date Conceded:* “Otero Drops Case,” *El Paso Herald* (TX), September 21, 1925; *Recount:* “File Motion to Strike Otero’s Name from Suit,” *Albuquerque Journal*, January 23, 1925.

**1926 AZ:** *Initial Margin:* “No Title,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, November 3, 1926. (*Associated Press* dated November 2, 1926); *Date Conceded:* “E.S. Clark, Defeat’d Candidate Gov. Decides to Not Contest,” *Casa Grande Valley Dispatch* (AZ), December 17, 1926.

**1926 NE:** *Date Conceded:* “Says Democrats Staged Comeback,” *Lincoln Evening Journal* (NE), November 22, 1926.

**1926 NM:** *Date Conceded:* “Hannett Concedes Defeat,” *El Paso Herald* (TX), November 4, 1926.

**1926 WY:** *Initial Margin:* “[No Title],” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, November 3, 1926 (*Associated Press* dated November 2, 1926); *Date Conceded:* “Emerson Elected,” *Big Piney Examiner* (WY), November 4, 1926; “Woman Governor Admits Defeat,” *San Bernardino County Sun* (CA), November 5, 1926.

**1930 KS:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Final Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “Haucke Admits Defeat, Felicitates Woodring,” *Washington Post*, November 24, 1930; *Recount:* “Kansas G.O.P. to Cooperate With Woodring,” *Iola Daily Register* (KS), November 28, 1930; *Litigation:* “Absentee Vote Counted and Woodring Wins,” *Iola Daily Register* (KS), November 15, 1930.

**1932 ME:** *Initial Margin:* “G.O.P. Maine Loss is First in 18 Years,” *Washington Post*, September 14, 1932 (election held on Monday, September 12; totals from the following Wednesday, with 619 of 632 precincts reporting); *Date Conceded:* “Says Martin Will Not Ask Recount Governor Vote,” *Lewiston Daily Sun* (ME), November 22, 1932; *Recount:* “Second Day Shows Gains for Democrats,” *Portsmouth Herald* (NH), October 19, 1932 (partial recount).

**1937 NJ:** *Date Conceded:* “Plea by Clee Aides Ends N.J. Recount,” *Brooklyn Daily Eagle* (NY), January 28, 1938; *Recount:* “Recount in Hudson is Granted to Clee,” *New York Times*, November 14, 1937; *Clee v. Moore*, 119 N.J.L. 215 (1937).

**1940 IN:** *Initial Margin:* “Indiana,” *Washington Post*, November 7, 1940; *Final Margin:* “Press Fight in Two States Over Election of Governors,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 23, 1941; *Date Conceded:* “Give Schricker Clear Title to Governor Seat,” *Chicago Tribune*, March 7, 1941; *Recount:* The losing candidate petitioned the legislature for a recount in early January and a legislative committee was convened to investigate his claims. “Group Named to Hear Vote Fraud Claims,” *Vidette-Messenger* (IN), January 24, 1941; “Hammond Lawyer Asks Gubernatorial Recount,” *Franklin Evening Star* (IN), January 14, 1941; *Litigation:* “Give Schricker Clear Title to Governor Seat,” *Chicago Tribune*, March 7, 1941.

**1940 KS:** *Initial Margin:* “Kansas,” *Washington Post*, November 7, 1940; “Kansas Race Continues Close,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 1940; *Date Conceded:* Even after Ratner’s inauguration, Burke surrogates continued to openly discuss the potential of further contest proceedings, which the coverage treated as a serious possibility. See, e.g., “Ratner Takes the Oath for Second Term,” *Emporia Gazette* (KS), January 13, 1941. The first located article deeming the dispute effectively over was “Contest Deadline Near,” *Iola Register* (KS), January 20, 1941 (quoting “capital observers [who] now believe there is little chance of [a contest].”) Burke’s next public appearance—a speech before the Kansas State society’s annual dinner in Washington, DC—seemed to confirm this observation, as the “unsuccessful Democratic candidate for governor” delivered a lengthy and wide-ranging speech but made no mention of any planned dispute. “Kansans Panned in Burke Speech,” *Emporia Gazette* (KS), January 29, 1941; *Recount:* Rumors of a potential recount occasionally appeared, but never materialized. See, e.g., “Fees Blasts Demos,” *Iola Register* (KS), December 9, 1940; *Litigation:* *Burke v. State Board of Canvassers*, 152 Kan. 826 (1940).

**1940 MA:** *Date Conceded:* “Democrat Concedes to Saltonstall,” *Washington Post*, December 15, 1940; *Recount:* “Dever Moves for Recount in His Contest,” *Fitchburg Sentinel*, November 7, 1940; *Litigation:* “Saltonstall Concedes,” *Washington Post*, December 15, 1940.

**1940 MO:** *Initial Margin:* “New Governor of Missouri Is a Republican,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 7, 1940; *Date Conceded:* “Missouri Gets a Republican as McDaniel Concedes Defeat,” *New York Times*, May 22, 1941; *Recount:* “The Recount Drags On,” *Kansas City Star* (MO), April 23, 1941; *Litigation:* *State ex. rel. Donnell v. Osburn*, 347 Mo. 469 (1941).

**1940 WA:** *Initial Margin:* “Seattle’s Mayor Increases Lead in Race for Governor,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 7, 1940; *Date Conceded:* “G.O.P. Quashes Washington State Contest,” *Salt Lake Tribune* (UT), January 15, 1941; *Litigation:* The losing candidate (or at least his surrogates) publicly considered—but ultimately rejected—court challenges. See “Dill May Take Vote Into Court,” *Fairbanks Daily News-Miner* (AK), November 15, 1940; “Dill Concedes Loss of Governor Race,” *Salt Lake Tribune* (UT), December 5, 1940.

**1944 UT:** *Initial Margin:* “Election Returns Given by States,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 9, 1944; *Date Conceded:* “Lee Drops Fight to Obtain Governor Ballot Recount,” *Salt Lake Tribune* (UT), May 27, 1945; *Recount:* The losing candidate dropped his efforts to obtain a recount after his petition in Utah district court was denied; *Litigation:* “Lee Files Court Action to Disqualify Maw,” *Salt Lake Tribune* (UT), December 23, 1944.

**1948 CT:** *Initial Margin:* “Democrats Capture 3 House Seats,” *New London Day* (CT), November 3, 1948; *Final Margin:* “Irregularities are Reported in Voting,” *New London Day* (CT), November 6, 1948; *Date Conceded:* “Recount Ban Held Victory for Baldwin,” *Hartford Courant* (CT), January 9, 1949.

**1950 MI:** *Final Margin:* This was the margin of victory certified by the state canvassing board. The losing candidate subsequently pursued a recount, but abandoned it on December 12, when results from 3,082 of the state’s 4,355 precincts showed the winning candidate increased his total from 1,154 to 4,119 votes. See Roy R. Glashan, *American Governors and Gubernatorial*

*Elections, 1775–1978* (Westport, CT: Meckler Books 1979), 154; *Recount*: “Kelly Concedes in Close Michigan Governor Battle,” *Washington Post*, December 14, 1950; *Litigation*: The losing candidate sought a recount but conceded before it could be completed. With three-fourths of the ballots cast counted, he trailed by 4,119 votes.

**1952 MI:** *Final Margin*: This was the margin of victory certified by the state canvassing board. The losing candidate subsequently pursued a recount, but abandoned it on December 16 when results from 1,800 of the state’s 4,479 precincts showed the winning candidate increased his total from 8,618 to 10,710 votes. See Glashan, *America’s Governors*, 154; *Date Conceded*: “Alger Concedes Williams Victory,” *News-Palladium* (MI), December 17, 1952; *Recount*: “Recount is Ordered in Michigan Contest,” *New York Times*, November 29, 1952; *Litigation*: “Alger Concedes Williams Victory,” *News-Palladium* (MI), December 17, 1952.

**1956 IL:** *Initial Margin*: “Latest State, County, and City Election Returns,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 8, 1956; *Date Conceded*: “Austin Admits Gov. Stratton Won Election,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 13, 1956.

**1956 RI:** *Initial Margin %*: The *Times*’ Thursday edition indicated that 11,069 absentee ballots remained uncounted. That Friday’s edition appears to confirm that these 11,069 were the only uncounted ballots. This estimated margin reflects those conclusions; *Final Margin*: *Roberts v. Board of Elections*, 85 R.I. 203 (1957); *Date Conceded*: “Roberts’ Election To Be Challenged,” *Newport Daily News* (RI), January 2, 1957; *Recount*: “Rhode Island Recount Gives Governor Hope,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 20, 1962.

**1958 NE:** *Initial Margin*: “Only Big Absentee Switch Could Change Final Count; Vic Waits,” *Lincoln Star* (NE), November 6, 1958 (citing *Associated Press* figures); *Date Conceded*: “Defeated Anderson Asked Action’s End,” *Lincoln Star* (NE), February 27, 1959; *Recount*: “Nebraska to Recount Votes,” *New York Times*, February 4, 1959; “Recount is Fought,” *New York Times*, February 22, 1959; *Litigation*: “Recount is Fought,” *New York Times*, February 22, 1959.

**1958 NM:** *Date Conceded*: “Gov. Mechem Concedes Vote to Burroughs,” *Albuquerque Journal*, November 24, 1958; *Litigation*: “Court Asked to Halt Burroughs’ Certifying,” *Albuquerque Journal*, November 20, 1958.

**1958 VT:** *Date Conceded*: “Stafford Takes Office Thursday, Count Ends,” *Bennington Banner* (VT), January 13, 1959; *Recount*: “G.O.P. Wins in Vermont,” *New York Times*, January 13, 1959.

**1960 NM:** *Date Conceded*: “Burroughs Out, Joe Fights On,” *Santa Fe New Mexican*, December 23, 1960; *Litigation*: “Gov. Falls in Dramatic Hour,” *Santa Fe New Mexican*, November 30, 1960.

**1962 MA:** *Final Margin*: “Vote for Governor, 1962,” The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, <https://archive.org/stream/electionstatisti19611963mass#page/n85/mode/2up/search/volpe>, accessed February 20, 2015; *Date Conceded*: “Defeat in Election Conceded by Volpe,” *New*

*York Times*, December 21, 1962; *Recount*: “Peabody and Volpe Both Seek Recount,” *New York Times*, November 22, 1962.

**1962 ME:** *Date Conceded*: “Maine Democrat Concedes Race,” *Washington Post*, December 15, 1962; *Recount*: “4 Races Still Undecided,” *New York Times*, December 1, 1962; *Litigation*: “Maine Democrat Concedes Race,” *Washington Post*, December 15, 1962.

**1962 MN:** *Final Margin*: “Rolvaag’s Lead 91 Over Anderson,” *Washington Post*, March 16, 1963; *Date Conceded*: “Anderson to Waive Vote Appeal,” *Washington Post*, March 23, 1963; *Recount*: “Anderson and Rolvaag Run Close in Recount,” *Washington Post*, December 21, 1962; *Litigation*: “Minnesota Gets a New Governor,” *New York Times*, March 26, 1963; *Winning Party*: The candidate’s precise party label was “Democratic-Farmer-Labor.”

**1962 RI:** *Date Conceded*: “Chafee Victor in Rhode Island; His Final Margin is 398 Votes,” *New York Times*, December 1, 1962.

**1962 VT:** *Final Margin*: Hoff gained 85 votes (netted 66) in the recount, which is reflected in this total. “Hoff Gained 85 Votes in Recount,” *Bennington Banner* (VT), January 18, 1963; *Date Conceded*: “Recount Winner,” *North Adams Transcript* (MA), January 16, 1963.

**1966 GA:** *Initial Margin %*: Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded*: “Maddox Wins Georgia Fight for Governor,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 11, 1967; *Litigation*: “Maddox-Callaway Georgia Race is Put to Courts and Legislature,” *New York Times*, November 10, 1966.

**1966 HI:** *Final Margin*: Crossley v. Ing, 50 Haw. 470, 442 P.2d 459 (1968); *Litigation*: “G.O.P. in Hawaii Expects Gains,” *Washington Post*, March 10, 1968.

**1970 AK:** *Date Conceded*: “Egan Welcomes Suggestions for Members of New Cabinet,” *Fairbanks Daily News-Miner* (AK), November 6, 1970; *Recount*: “Egan Happy With Freeze Extension,” *Fairbanks Daily News-Miner* (AK), December 9, 1970.

**1970 ME:** *Final Margin*: “Governor Curtis is Reelected in Maine as Recount Gives Him a 500-Vote Margin,” *New York Times*, December 16, 1970.

**1970 MI:** *Date Conceded*: “Michigan Winner is Gov. Milliken,” *New York Times*, November 6, 1970; *Recount*: “Joshing, Joking at the Capitol—Milliken Ponders Recount,” *News-Palladium* (MI), January 4, 1971.

**1970 OK:** *Date Conceded*: “Oklahoma Democrat Wins,” *New York Times*, November 16, 1970; *Recount*: “Hall Assured Victory in Oklahoma Recount,” *Washington Post*, November 14, 1970; *Litigation*: “Court Backs Stand Made by Bartlett,” *Lawson Constitution* (OK), November 10, 1970.

**1970 RI:** *Final Margin*: “Rhode Island Governor is Conceded Election,” *New York Times*, November 15, 1970.



**1974 AK:** *Date Conceded:* “287 Votes Unseat Alaska’s Governor,” *New York Times*, November 29, 1974; *Recount:* “Alaska Governor Seeks a Recount,” *New York Times*, November 24, 1974 (first recount); “Recount Won by Hammond,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 29, 1974 (second recount).

**1974 OH:** *Date Conceded:* “Ohio Governor Halts Recount,” *New York Times*, December 14, 1974; *Recount:* The losing candidate initiated a partial recount, which he subsequently abandoned before completion.

**1979 LA:** *Date Conceded:* “Lambert Concedes Defeat,” *New York Times*, December 14, 1979; *Litigation:* “Error Found in Louisiana Tally; Treen Still Leads,” *Los Angeles Times*, December 10, 1979.

**1981 NJ:** *Date Conceded:* “Democrat Concedes N.J. Recount,” *Chicago Tribune*, December 1, 1981; *Recount:* “Recount: A Slow, Contentious Task,” *New York Times*, November 29, 1981; *Litigation:* “Jersey Vote Controversy Moves Further in Courts,” *New York Times*, November 8, 1981.

**1982 IL:** *Initial Margin:* “Democrats Made Widespread Gains in States’ Control,” *New York Times*, November 5, 1982; *Date Conceded:* “Adlai Concedes Defeat,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 8, 1983; *Recount:* “Illinois Recount Trims Gov. Thompson’s ‘Comfortable’ Lead,” *Washington Post*, November 10, 1982; *Litigation:* “Adlai Concedes Defeat,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 8, 1983.

**1989 VA:** *Date Conceded:* “Virginia Election Result Certified,” *New York Times*, December 22, 1989; *Litigation:* Under Virginia law a special three-judge election court presides over a recount, but it is not a lawsuit in the same way that contesting the results of the election is. The Republican, Marshall Coleman, did not file a separate contest at the end of the recount. “Coleman Concedes as Recount Confirms Victory by Wilder,” *Washington Post*, December 22, 1989.

**1990 AZ:** *Initial Margin %:* “Final National Election Returns,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 1990; *Final Margin:* “Symington Officially is the Victor, Takes Office Today,” *Daily Star* (AZ), March 6, 1991; *Date Conceded:* “Rich GOP Developer Symington Wins Arizona Governor Runoff,” *Los Angeles Times*, February 28, 1991; *Recount:* “Arizona Sets Gubernatorial Runoff,” *Washington Post*, November 25, 1990; *Litigation:* Supporters of Republican Symington sued in an effort to avoid the runoff, although Symington did not support the lawsuit and it did not prevail. “Arizona Court Supports Runoff in Governor’s Race,” *Orlando Sentinel*, December 5, 1990.

**1990 MI:** *Initial Margin:* “Final National Returns,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 8, 1990; *Date Conceded:* “Michigan’s Governor Barely Loses,” *New York Times*, November 8, 1990; *Recount:* “Michigan is Next Target on Abortion Battlefield,” *Chicago Sun Times*, December 2, 1990.

**1990 NE:** *Initial Margin:* “The Midwest,” *Washington Post*, November 8, 1990; *Date Conceded:* “Nebraska Governor Orr Concedes,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 10, 1990.

**1993 NJ:** *Initial Margin:* “New Jersey Anger Over Taxes Propels Challenger,” *New York Times*, November 3, 1993; *Date Conceded:* New Jersey Democrats Drop Effort to Overturn Whitman’s Election,” *New York Times*, November 30, 1993.

**1994 AK:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Final Margin:* This margin and the corresponding percentage were the results of a runoff between the top 2 candidates. The margin after the official canvass was 583 votes. “1994 General Election,” State of Alaska Division of Elections, [www.elections.alaska.gov/results/94GENR/result94.htm](http://www.elections.alaska.gov/results/94GENR/result94.htm), accessed February 20, 2015; *Date Conceded:* “Lawsuit Settled Over Alleged Vote-Buying in Alaska Governor’s Race,” *St. Louis Post-Dispatch* (MO), November 17, 1995 (discussing agreement reached “last Tuesday”); “Democrat Wins in Alaska Recount—Republican Won’t Pursue ‘Voter Incentive’ Complaints,” *Seattle Times*, December 4, 1994.

**1994 MD:** *Final Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “Sauerbrey Gives Up on Vote Suit,” *Washington Post*, January 16, 1995; *Litigation:* “Sauerbrey to Rely on Challenges,” *Washington Post*, November 12, 1994.

**2002 AL:** *Date Conceded:* Jeffrey Gettleman, “Alabama Governor Ends Challenge to Vote Count,” *New York Times*, November 19, 2002; *Recount:* The Democratic candidate began the process of seeking a recount, but withdrew shortly after it began; *Litigation:* “Riley Goes to Supreme Court,” *Montgomery Advertiser* (AL), November 15, 2002.

**2002 AZ:** *Date Conceded:* “The 2002 Election; Victor in Governor’s Race,” *New York Times*, November 11, 2002.

**2004 WA:** *Final Margin:* “2004 Governor’s Race,” Washington Secretary of State, accessed February 20, 2015, [www.sos.wa.gov/elections/2004gov\\_race.aspx](http://www.sos.wa.gov/elections/2004gov_race.aspx); *Date Conceded:* Gregory Roberts, “After Spending Millions, Challenger Loses 4 Votes,” *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, June 7, 2005.

**2010 CT:** *Date Conceded:* Christopher Keating & Jon Lender, “Foley Gives up Fight; Concedes to Malloy, says Election Valid,” *Hartford Courant* (CT), November 9, 2010.

**2010 MN:** *Final Margin:* “Emmer Exits, With Honor,” *St. Paul Pioneer Press* (MN), December 9, 2010; *Date Conceded:* Jason Hoppin, “GOP’s Emmer Concedes Minnesota Governor’s Race,” *St. Paul Pioneer Press* (MN), Dec. 7, 2010; *Litigation:* In re 2010 Gubernatorial Election, 793 N.W.2d 256 (Minn. 2010).

### **Table A.2 Overtime U.S. Senate Elections, 1914 to Present**

**1922 TX:** *Initial Margin:* “Republicans Gain House Control; Mayfield Has 112,818 Over Peddy,” *Waco News-Tribune* (TX), November 9, 1922; *Final Margin:* Anne M. Butler & Wendy Wolff, *United States Senate Election, Expulsion and Censure Cases 1793–1990* (Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1995), 307; *Date Conceded:* “Mayfield is Seated Without Any

Debate,” *New York Times*, February 4, 1925; *Recount*: “Recount Gives Mayfield Majority,” *New York Times*, April 8, 1924.

**1924 IA:** *Final Margin*: “Parties Split as Radical is Beaten, 45–41,” *Chicago Daily Tribune*, April 13, 1926; *Date Conceded*: “Brookhart Ousted by 45 to 41 Vote; Steck is Sworn In,” *New York Times*, April 13, 1926; *Recount*: “Brookhart Winner in Tentative Recount,” *New York Times*, September 1, 1925; *Litigation*: “Brookhart Moves to Save Ballots,” *New York Times*, November 30, 1924.

**1924 NM:** *Initial Margin*: “New Mexico Still Close,” *New York Times*, November 7, 1924; *Final Margin*: S. Rep. 69-724 (1926); *Date Conceded*: “Bursum Loses Senate Seat Contest,” *New York Times*, May 1, 1926; *Litigation*: Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 318.

**1926 PA:** *Recount*: “Senators Will Start Recount,” *Los Angeles Times*, January 27, 1928; *Litigation*: “Vare Joins Wilson to Save Ballots,” *New York Times*, August 18, 1927; *Winning Party*: “Senate Bars Vare by Vote of 58 to 22; Rejects Wilson Too,” *New York Times*, December 7, 1929.

**1934 NM:** *Initial Margin*: “Cutting Holds Lead,” *New York Times*, November 9, 1934; *Date Conceded*: “Death of Cutting is Blow to Senate,” *New York Times*, May 7, 1935; *Litigation*: “Democrats to Let Cutting Take Seat,” *New York Times*, January 1, 1935.

**1938 IN:** *Date Conceded*: Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 367; *Litigation*: “Van Nuys Fights Recount,” *New York Times*, November 22, 1938.

**1946 MD:** *Initial Margin*: “Maryland Elects Lane as Governor,” *New York Times*, November 6, 1946; *Final Margin*: “O’Conor Wins .0035% in Maryland Vote Recount,” *Washington Post*, January 8, 1948; *Date Conceded*: See Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 381; *Recount*: “O’Conor Wins .0035% in Maryland Vote Recount,” *Washington Post*, January 8, 1948; *Litigation*: “Markey Files Fraud Claim With Senate,” *Washington Post*, December 11, 1946.

**1946 WV:** *Final Margin*: “4 Senators Upheld in Poll Contests,” *New York Times*, July 28, 1949; *Date Conceded*: Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 383; *Recount*: “Kilgore Gains in Vote Count,” *Raleigh Register* (WV), November 22, 1946.

**1948 MI:** *Initial Margin*: “Michigan Results,” *News-Palladium* (MI), November 4, 1948; *Final Margin*: S. Rep 81-101 (1949), at 1; *Date Conceded*: See Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 386.

**1952 NM:** *Date Conceded*: See Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 399; *Recount*: “Progress is Slow in Chavez Recount,” *New York Times*, July 5, 1953; *Litigation*: “New Mexico Contest Brings 4 Impoundings,” *New York Times*, November 6, 1952.

**1962 AL:** *Date Conceded*: “Alabama Vote Complaint Fails,” *Washington Post*, February 5, 1963.

**1962 SD:** *Final Margin:* “McGovern Victory Certified,” *New York Times*, December 8, 1962; *Date Conceded:* “Race for Senate Won by M’Govern,” *New York Times*, December 6, 1962; *Recount:* “Begin Recount Tomorrow in South Dakota,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 25, 1962.

**1964 NV:** *Date Conceded:* “Senator Cannon Is Ruled Final Winner in Nevada,” *New York Times*, December 11, 1964.

**1964 PA:** *Date Conceded:* “Miss Blatt Concedes Senate Race to Scott,” *Washington Post*, November 20, 1964.

**1968 OR:** *Initial Margin:* Daryl E. Lembke, “Morse Still Trails in Oregon; Race May Hinge on Absentees,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 7, 1968; *Date Conceded:* “Morse Ends Fight; Concedes Defeat,” *New York Times*, December 31, 1968.

**1970 IN:** *Date Conceded:* See Butler & Wolff, *Election Cases*, 420; *Recount:* “Senate Race Vote Recount Begins Today,” *Kokomo Tribune* (IN), March 6, 1972; *Litigation:* “Justices Rule Hartke Election is Subject to Recount in Indiana,” *New York Times*, February 24, 1972.

**1972 CO:** *Date Conceded:* “Allott Forgoes Election Recount,” *Washington Post*, December 6, 1972.

**1974 NV:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “No Challenge in Nevada,” *New York Times*, December 11, 1974; *Recount:* “Recount Confirms Laxalt as Nevada Senate Victor,” *New York Times*, December 8, 1974; *Litigation:* “No Challenge in Nevada,” *New York Times*, December 11, 1974.

**1974 NH:** *Initial Margin:* “U.S. Senate Winners,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 7, 1974; *Final Margin %:* Includes totals for the major third-party candidate, a member of the American Party; *Recount:* “New Senate Election Weighed in New Hampshire,” *New York Times*, December 11, 1974; *Litigation:* “Attempt to Block Vote Review Denied in New Hampshire,” *New York Times*, December 7, 1974; *Winning Party:* “Democrat Durkin Wins Senate Rematch in N.H.,” *Chicago Tribune*, September 17, 1975.

**1974 ND:** *Initial Margin:* “U.S. Senate Winners,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 7, 1974; *Date Conceded:* “G.O.P. Victor in North Dakota,” *New York Times*, December 14, 1974; *Recount:* “Unofficial Recount Shows Young Ahead,” *New York Times*, December 12, 1974.

**1974 OK:** *Initial Margin:* “U.S. Senate Winners,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 7, 1974; *Date Conceded:* “Senator Bellmon Retains his Seat,” *New York Times*, March 5, 1976.

**1978 TX:** *Date Conceded:* “Bob Krueger Formally Concedes Defeat,” *Paris News* (TX), December 10, 1978; *Recount:* “Demos Ask Recount,” *Galveston Daily News* (TX), November 14, 1978.

**1978 VA:** *Date Conceded:* “Around the Nation: Recount Call is Dropped in Virginia Senate Race,” *New York Times*, December 18, 1978; *Recount:* The losing candidate requested a recount,

but rescinded his request when his campaign was unable to pay for it. “Warner’s Foe Drops Request for Recount,” *Los Angeles Times*, December 18, 1978.

**1980 GA:** *Initial Margin:* “Election Results—The Nation,” *Washington Post*, November 6, 1980; *Date Conceded:* “Around the Nation: Talmadge Bars a Recount, Promising to Help Victor,” *New York Times*, November 12, 1980.

**1980 VT:** *Initial Margin:* “Latest Results in 34 Senate Contests,” *Los Angeles Times*, November 6, 1980; *Date Conceded:* “Challenger in Vermont Senate Races Concedes,” *Los Angeles Times*, December 23, 1980; *Recount:* “Recount to Begin in Vermont,” *Los Angeles Times*, December 1, 1980.

**1982 RI:** *Date Conceded:* “Chafee Opponent Concedes,” *New York Times*, November 14, 1982.

**1984 KY:** *Initial Margin:* “Senate,” *Washington Post*, November 8, 1984; *Date Conceded:* “McConnell’s Upset Win Over Huddleston Made Official,” *Lexington Herald-Leader* (KY), November 28, 1984; *Recount:* The losing candidate asked for and received a statewide re-c canvass, but not a recount. “3 Request Recanvass of Votes in Fayette,” *Lexington Herald-Leader* (KY), November 13, 1984.

**1988 FL:** *Date Conceded:* “Democrat Concedes Defeat in Florida Election for Senate,” *New York Times*, November 17, 1988.

**1994 CA:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* Maria L. La Ganga, “Huffington Concedes Loss in Senate Race,” *Los Angeles Times*, February 8, 1995.

**1996 LA:** *Date Conceded:* Helen Dewar, “Senate Panel Closes Probe of Landrieu Election,” *Washington Post*, October 2, 1997; *Litigation:* “Louisiana Republican Drops Election Challenge,” *Washington Post*, November 18, 1996.

**1998 NV:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “News in Brief: In the Nation,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, December 11, 1998; *Recount:* “Recount Confirms Nevada Senator’s Victory,” *New York Times*, November 13, 1998; *Litigation:* “Judge Orders Recount of Thousands of Absentee Ballots in Senate Race,” *St. Louis Post Dispatch*, November 8, 1998.

**2000 WA:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Date Conceded:* “Recount Seals Senate Race in Washington for Democrat,” *New York Times*, December 2, 2000.

**2002 SD:** *Date Conceded:* “The Oglala Sioux’s Senator,” *Wall Street Journal*, November 14, 2002.

**2004 AK:** *Date Conceded:* A third party, Alaskans for Fair Elections requested and triggered the recount. The date reported here is the day election officials resolved the requested recount because the Democratic nominee did not contest the election. See Matt Volz, “Vote Recount of Senate Race Results in No Changes,” *Anchorage Daily Press* (AK), December 18, 2004.

**2008 MN:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Final Margin:* “Minnesota Court Rules Democrat Won Senate Seat,” *New York Times*, July 1, 2009; *Recount:* “Minnesota Ends Recount For Senate; G.O.P. Leads,” *New York Times*, December 6, 2008; *Litigation:* Sheehan v. Franken (In re Contest of Gen. Election), 767 N.W.2d 453 (Minn. 2009).

**2010 AK:** *Initial Margin:* Because Senator Murkowski’s votes were included with all other write-in candidates, it is impossible to know the precise margin separating her and Republican Party nominee Joe Miller. Early newspaper totals counted all write-in votes as votes for Murkowski, which likely slightly inflated her totals. See Brendan Joel Kelley, “History—At the Culmination of a Poisonous Political Season, Senator Murkowski Appears to Have Won Her Write-in Campaign,” *Anchorage Press*, November 4, 2010 (reporting a margin of 13,588 between Miller’s tally and the write-in votes); “West: Too Close to Call, but Lots of Fun to Watch (At Least from Afar),” *New York Times*, November 4, 2010; *Final Margin:* Lisa Demer, “Court Rejects Miller, Lifts Certification Hold,” *Anchorage Daily News*, December 29, 2010; *Final Margin %:* “Election Results,” State of Alaska Division of Elections, accessed February 20, 2015, [www.elections.alaska.gov/ei\\_return.php](http://www.elections.alaska.gov/ei_return.php) (includes third-party totals); *Date Conceded:* Richard Mauer, “Miller Gives up Election Challenge—Senate: He Says He Will Not End Fight for Conservative Values,” *Anchorage Daily News*, January 1, 2011; *Litigation:* Miller v Treadwell, 245 P3d 867 (Alaska 2010).

**Additional Note:** The 1992 Georgia election for US senator has been left off of this chart, despite not being settled until well after Election Day. In 1992, the Democratic incumbent received 49 percent of the vote to his Republican challenger’s 48 percent. Ronald Smothers, “Georgia Senator in Runoff,” *New York Times*, November 4, 1992. However, because Georgia law required that a candidate in a statewide win by a majority vote, the Democrat and Republican were forced into a runoff against each other. *Ibid.* The Republican later won the runoff held on November 24, 1992 by a 51 percent to 49 percent margin. Ronald Smothers, “Republicans Taking Heat From Senate-Runoff Victory in Georgia,” *New York Times*, November 26, 1992. Although there was litigation over the validity of the runoff election, the litigation was initiated by parties independent of the two candidates. See Public Citizen, Inc. v. Miller, 992 F.2d 1548 (11th Cir. 1993). The unique circumstances caused by the peculiarities of the Georgia law ultimately take the election outside the scope of overtime elections analyzed in this book.

### **Table A.3 Overtime Statewide Elections: 2000 to Present**

**2000 CO State Board of Education:** *Initial Margin:* “Campaign 2000: State Results,” *Rocky Mountain News* (CO), November 9, 2000 (with 3,368 of 3,409 precincts reporting); *Final Margin:* Nancy Mitchell, “Polis Wins School Race by 90 Votes Alexander Concedes After Wisecrack About Seeking Recounts in 2 Republican Counties,” *Rocky Mountain News* (CO), November 29, 2000; Trent Siebert, “Recount Confirms Polis Won Seat on Ed Board Republican Alexander Won’t Contest Second Tally,” *Denver Post*, November 29, 2000.

**2000 FL President:** *Initial Margin:* Bush v. Gore, 531 U.S. 98, 101 (2000) (Wednesday morning totals); *Date Conceded:* “Gore Concedes in Speech Before Nation,” *New York Times*, December 13, 2000.

**2000 WA US Senate:** *Date Conceded:* “Cantwell: I Will be a Senator for All Washington; Gorton Says of Loss, ‘It’s a Dubious Honor,’” *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, December 2, 2000.

**2000 WA Secretary of State:** *Initial Margin:* “Statewide Election Results,” *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, November 9, 2000; *Final Margin:* Kathleen Best, “Cantwell Gets a Victory, at Long Last Democrat Officially Ousts Incumbent Gorton by 2,229 Votes,” *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, December 2, 2000.

**2002 AL Governor:** *Date Conceded:* Jeffrey Gettleman, “Alabama Governor Ends Challenge to Vote Count,” *New York Times*, November 19, 2002; *Recount:* The Democratic candidate began the process of seeking a recount, but withdrew shortly after it began; *Litigation:* “Riley Goes to Supreme Court,” *Montgomery Advertiser* (AL), November 15, 2002.

**2002 AZ Governor:** *Date Conceded:* “The 2002 Election; Victor in Governor’s Race,” *New York Times*, November 11, 2002.

**2004 WA Governor:** *Final Margin:* “2004 Governor’s Race,” Washington Secretary of State, accessed February 20, 2015, [www.sos.wa.gov/elections/2004gov\\_race.aspx](http://www.sos.wa.gov/elections/2004gov_race.aspx); *Date Conceded:* Gregory Roberts, “After Spending Millions, Challenger by Loses 4 Votes,” *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, June 7, 2005.

**2004 AK US Senate:** *Date Conceded:* A third party, Alaskans for Fair Elections, requested and triggered the recount. The date reported here is when election officials resolved the requested recount, as the Democratic nominee did not contest the election. Matt Volz, “Vote Recount of Senate Race Results in No Changes,” *Anchorage Daily Press* (AK), December 18, 2004.

**2004 NC Superintendent of Public Instruction:** *Initial Margin:* Aaron Beard, “NC ELN Council of State,” *Associated Press*, November 3, 2004 (with 99% of precincts reporting); *Final Margin:* “Election Results,” North Carolina State Board of Elections, accessed February 20, 2015, [www.ncsbe.gov/ncsbe/Election-Results](http://www.ncsbe.gov/ncsbe/Election-Results); *Date Conceded:* “Last Unresolved Statewide Election is Settled,” *New York Times*, August 24, 2005; *Recount:* Carrie Levine and Mark Johnson, “Recount Changes No Race—Statewide Margins Shift, More Glitches to Come,” *Charlotte Observer*, November 18, 2004; *Litigation:* In re Election Protest of Bill Fletcher, 175 N.C.App. 755 (2006).

**2005 VA Attorney General:** *Initial Margin:* Kimball Payne, “Attorney General Recount Likely,” *Daily Press* (VA), November 10, 2005; *Final Margin:* Carol Morello, “McDonnell Clinches Attorney General Race; Panel Certifies Va. Republican After Recount,” *Washington Post*, December 22, 2005; *Recount:* “Recount Confirms McDonnell as Winner; the Attorney General Election is Decided by 360-Vote Margin,” *Richmond-Times Dispatch* (VA), December 22, 2005; *Litigation:* Carol Morello, “Judges Exclude 26% of Ballots in Va. Recount,” *Washington Post*, December 10, 2005.

**2006 CO Secretary of State:** *Initial Margin:* “Dem Hangs On as Count Goes On,” *Rocky Mountain News* (CO), November 11, 2006; *Final Margin:* “Colorado Cumulative Report,” [www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/electionresults2006G/COLORADO-CUMULATIVE.htm](http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/electionresults2006G/COLORADO-CUMULATIVE.htm), Colorado Secretary of State, accessed February 20, 2015; *Date Conceded:* Chris Frates, “Ex-Foes Foresee the End of the Lies Pledge Made to Fix what Ailed Polls,” *Denver Post*, November 15, 2006;

*Recount:* No recount was requested. Instead, this election went into overtime because the Democratic candidate decided to wait until all of the absentee and provisional ballots were counted. This number totaled more than 60,000 uncounted.

**2006 NC Court of Appeals:** *Initial Margin:* “Election Q&A,” *Raleigh News & Observer* (NC), November 9, 2006; *Final Margin:* Election Results,” North Carolina State Board of Elections, accessed February 20, 2015, [www.ncsbe.gov/ncsbe/election-results](http://www.ncsbe.gov/ncsbe/election-results); *Date Conceded:* “Judge Concedes Tight Race,” *Charlotte News Observer* (NC), November 28, 2006.

**2006 OH Auditor:** *Initial Margin:* “Statewide,” *Cleveland Plain Dealer*, November 9, 2006; *Final Margin:* “Auditor of State: November 7, 2006,” Ohio Secretary of State, [www.sos.state.oh.us/sos/elections/Research/electResultsMain/2006ElectionsResults/06-1107Auditor.aspx](http://www.sos.state.oh.us/sos/elections/Research/electResultsMain/2006ElectionsResults/06-1107Auditor.aspx), accessed February 20, 2015; *Date Conceded:* “Officials Certify Votes in Statewide Races,” [www.gongweroh.com/programming/news.cfm?article\\_id=752400206&oldoldCFID=6092657&oldoldCFID=10188266#sthash.qT9e0t6v.aI3EJf4H.dpbs](http://www.gongweroh.com/programming/news.cfm?article_id=752400206&oldoldCFID=6092657&oldoldCFID=10188266#sthash.qT9e0t6v.aI3EJf4H.dpbs), *Gongwer News Service*, accessed February 20, 2015 (subscription required); *Recount:* John McCarthy, “Democrats Crawl From Ashes for Statewide Wins,” *Associated Press*, November 10, 2006.

**2006 VT Auditor of Accounts:** *Initial Margin:* Louis Porter, “Brock Defeats Salmon for State Auditor in Close Race,” *Rutland Herald* (VT), November 9, 2006; *Final Margin:* “Salmon Wins in Historic Recount,” *Burlington Free Press* (VT), December 22, 2006; Louis Porter, “Salmon’s Win in Auditor Race Certified,” *Rutland Herald* (VT), December 21, 2006.

**2008 MN US Senate:** *Initial Margin %:* Includes third-party totals; *Final Margin:* “Minnesota Court Rules Democrat Won Senate Seat,” *New York Times*, July 1, 2009; *Recount:* “Minnesota Ends Recount For Senate; G.O.P. Leads,” *New York Times*, December 6, 2008; *Litigation:* *Sheehan v. Franken* (In re Contest of Gen. Election), 767 N.W.2d 453 (Minn. 2009)

**2010 AK US Senate:** *Initial Margin:* Because Senator Murkowski’s votes were included with all other write-in candidates, it is impossible to know the precise initial margin separating her and Republican Party nominee Joe Miller. Early newspaper totals counted all write-in votes as votes for Murkowski, which likely slightly inflated her totals. See Brendan Joel Kelley, “History—At the Culmination of a Poisonous Political Season, Senator Murkowski Appears to Have Won Her Write-in Campaign,” *Anchorage Press* (AK), November 4, 2010 (reporting a margin of 13,588 between Miller’s tally and the write-in votes); “West: Too Close to Call, but Lots of Fun to Watch (At Least from Afar),” *New York Times*, November 4, 2010; *Final Margin:* Lisa Demer, “Court Rejects Miller, Lifts Certification Hold,” *Anchorage Daily News* (AK), December 29, 2010; *Final Margin %:* This % accounts for votes cast for other candidates, including the Democrat. *Ibid.* (reporting Murkowski’s and Miller’s totals); See also “2010 General Election,” [www.elections.alaska.gov/results/10GENR/data/results.pdf](http://www.elections.alaska.gov/results/10GENR/data/results.pdf) (reporting totals for other candidates), State of Alaska Division of Elections, accessed February 20, 2015; *Date Conceded:* Richard Mauer, “Miller Gives up Election Challenge,” *Anchorage Daily News* (AK), January 1, 2011; *Litigation:* *Miller v Treadwell*, 245 P3d 867 (Alaska 2010).

**2010 CT Governor:** *Date Conceded:* Christopher Keating & Jon Lender, “Foley Gives up Fight; Concedes to Malloy, Says Election Valid; Governor’s Race,” *Hartford Courant* (CT), November 9, 2010.



**2010 MN Governor:** *Final Margin:* “Emmer Exists, With Honor,” *St. Paul Pioneer Press*, December 9, 2010; *Date Conceded:* Jason Hoppin, “GOP’s Emmer Concedes Minnesota Governor’s Race,” *St. Paul Pioneer Press* (MN), December 7, 2010; *Litigation:* In re 2010 Gubernatorial Election, 793 N.W.2d 256 (Minn. 2010).

**2010 NC Court of Appeals:** *Initial Margin:* See Catherine Pritchard, “The Fayetteville Observer, N.C., Live Wire Column,” November 10, 2010 (winner would not be known until the State Canvassing Board met in late November because winner would be determined by a tally of first, second and third place votes), <http://technews.tmcnet.com/news/2010/11/10/5130436.htm>; *Final Margin:* Gary Robertson, “Concession After N.C. Court of Appeals Recount Widens Lead,” *Virginian-Pilot*, December 20, 2010; *Final Margin %:* “NC Court of Appeals Instant Runoff Election Results,” [www.fairvote.org/press/nc-court-of-appeals-instant-runoff-election-results/](http://www.fairvote.org/press/nc-court-of-appeals-instant-runoff-election-results/), *Fair Vote*, accessed February 20, 2015.

**2011 WI Supreme Court:** *Initial Margin:* “Recount Expected in Wisconsin Supreme Court Justice Election,” *Wausau Daily Herald* (WI), April 7, 2011; *Final Margin:* “2011 Supreme Court Statewide Recount Information,” State of Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, accessed February 20, 2015, <http://gab.wi.gov/node/1719>. The winner of the election flipped from the Democratic nominee to the Republican between the initial reported margin and the final certified results; *Date Conceded:* Jason Stein & Don Walker, “Kloppenburg Concedes Race,” *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel* (WI), May 31, 2011; *Winning Party:* The election was technically a nonpartisan election, but Prosser received support from Republicans, while the Democratic Party backed Kloppenburg.

**2013 VA Attorney General:** *Initial Margin:* Bill Turque, “VA. Attorney General’s Race May Be Headed for Recount,” *Washington Post*, November 7, 2013; *Final Margin:* Laura Vozzella & Ben Pershing, “Obenshain Concedes Race,” *Washington Post*, December 19, 2013; *Date Conceded:* Trip Gabriel, “Republican Concedes in Virginia, Ending Recount in Attorney General Race,” *New York Times*, December 19, 2013; *Litigation:* “Obenshain Concedes to Herring in AG Race,” *Richmond Times-Dispatch* (VA), December 18, 2013.