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ABSTRACT

Newborn laboratory hybrids of *Peromyscus maniculatus* and *P. polionotus* were observed daily from birth to weaning and compared with offspring of each of the parent types. The F₁ hybrids were intermediate between the parent types in mean age at which pinnae unfolded, hair appeared, and eyes opened. Of the parent types, the pinnae of *P. polionotus* opened one day later than *P. maniculatus*. Hybrid offspring were less viable than the parent types, with a mortality peak noted during the second postnatal week.

Previous studies of development of young mice of the North American cricetid genus *Peromyscus* have been conducted by several workers. Svihla (1932) surveyed postnatal development in five species, including several races of the species *P. maniculatus*. McCabe and Blanchard (1950) conducted rather extensive comparative studies of the development of *P. maniculatus* and two other species. King (1958) investigated several aspects of development in the *P. maniculatus* subspecies *bairdii* and *gracilis* in connection with his studies of the behavior in these forms. All of these studies have included data on the age of appearance of various developmental landmarks, such as the time at which the eyes opened or pigmentation of the dorsum occurs. However, descriptions of the developmental characteristics of interspecific hybrids previously have not been available. Likewise, there are no detailed reports of postnatal mortality in this genus. In view of this deficiency and the widespread interest in genetics and speciation in *Peromyscus*, studies of this nature were initiated to explore the effects of hybridity upon postnatal development. It was thought that any evidence of disruption of expected developmental processes or inviability of young hybrids might be of significance in the origin of physiological isolation between closely allied species.

STOCKS AND METHODS

*Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii* (prairie deermouse) and *P. polionotus subgriseus* (oldfield mouse) were chosen for this study, since the ability of these species to interbreed in captivity to a limited extent was known (Dice, 1933; Watson, 1942; Liu, 1953) and stocks of these mice were available at the genetics laboratory of The Ohio State University Department of Zoology and Entomology, where this study was conducted.

Several classes of matings were established. These included reciprocal crosses between the parent species, crosses among F₁ from the female *P. maniculatus* X male *P. polionotus* cross, hereafter designated MPF₁, and reciprocal backcrosses between MPF₁ and the parent species. At least five matings of each type were attempted.

Daily examinations were made of litters from birth to weaning. The age at which pinnae unfolded, at which hair exclusive of vibrissae could first be detected upon gross examination, and at which eyes first opened were noted. A daily
record was maintained of litter mortality and of significant features concerning
the young mice, such as eczema, striking variations in pelage or state of health.
Note was also taken of newborn litters successfully nursing and of any marked
parental neglect or defensiveness. Such observations were made on all the hybrid
classes of matings and upon sample matings of each of the parental types.

In statistical analyses of the data, "Students' t" tests were employed to detect
any significant differences between means of the various classes of mice. Com-
parisons were made between \textit{P. maniculatus} and \textit{P. polionotus} and also between
reciprocal backcrosses. Statistical comparisons of the MPF\textsubscript{1} or \textit{F\textsubscript{2}}
either parent type were not performed, since, in general, the means fell between
the parental values as expected. Samples of offspring from the reciprocal cross,
female \textit{P. polionotus} \texttimes male \textit{P. maniculatus} were too small to be of value in sta-
tistical comparisons.

\section*{RESULTS}
The mean age in days, based upon the means for each litter, at which pinnae
evoluted, at which hair first appeared, and at which the eyes first opened are given
in Table 1. Pinnae generally unfolded the second or third day in all types. On

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Mean age in days of appearance of developmental landmarks taken by litter}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Type mating & Number of litters & Pinnae unfold & Appearance primary hair & Eyes open \\
\hline
Female & Male & Mean S.E. & Number of litters & Mean S.E. & Number of litters & Mean S.E. \\
\hline
M & \texttimes & M & 27 & 1.88±.14 & 25 & 4.60±.19 & 24 & 13.21±.28 \\
P & \texttimes & P & 53 & 2.98±.10 & 58 & 4.78±.12 & 57 & 13.74±.17 \\
M & \texttimes & P & 54 & 2.61±.09 & 51 & 4.71±.15 & 54 & 13.35±.19 \\
P & \texttimes & M & 4 & 1.25±.25 & 5 & 4.00±.32 & 3 & 13.33±.33 \\
M & \texttimes & \textit{MPF}_1 & 34 & 2.55±.18 & 22 & 5.00±.17 & 31 & 13.23±.22 \\
\textit{MPF}_1 & \texttimes & M & 27 & 2.07±.13 & 23 & 4.43±.14 & 18 & 13.61±.30 \\
P & \texttimes & \textit{MPF}_1 & 5 & 2.60±.24 & 6 & 4.50±.43 & 7 & 14.14±.40 \\
\textit{MPF}_1 & \texttimes & P & 10 & 2.40±.27 & 6 & 4.33±.42 & 5 & 13.20±.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textit{MPF}_1 designates progeny from female \textit{maniculatus} \texttimes male \textit{polionotus}.
M designates \textit{P. maniculatus}.
P designates \textit{P. polionotus}.

the average, pinnae of \textit{P. polionotus} projected approximately one day later than
\textit{P. maniculatus} a significant difference (P<.001). The difference between the
mean time of pinnae unfolding in reciprocal backcrosses of MPF\textsubscript{1} to \textit{P. maniculatus}
was also significant at the .001 level, with pinnae unfolding slightly later when
\textit{P. maniculatus} was the female in the cross. The mean age of pinnae opening in
the \textit{MPF}_1 and \textit{MPF}_2 fell between the means of the parental types.

There was no significant difference between \textit{P. maniculatus} and \textit{P. polionotus}
in the mean age of appearance of first hair and first eyes opening, although the
mean age in \textit{P. polionotus} was later than in \textit{P. maniculatus} in both instances.
Differences between the reciprocal backcrosses to \textit{P. maniculatus} in the first
appearance of hair were barely significant at the .05 level based on total litters.
However, this analysis did not take into account the non-independence of the
litters; thus, the difference is doubtful. The means of the \textit{MPF}_1 hybrids fell
between those of the parental classes in both the age of hair appearance and eyes
opening.
In both species and all hybrids, the dorsum was pigmented by the second day, but rarely by the end of the first day.

A tabulation of the absolute and proportional litter mortality by two-day periods is presented in Table 2. All categories of matings exhibited a high initial litter mortality, which is lumped into a pre-five-day period. Most of this occurred during the first two days and was the result of unattended young, many of which were devoured by the parents. Cannibalism was particularly frequent among P. polionotus. Young which survived past four days had a high probability of living to weaning age, although among the MPF₂ much of the mortality occurred after five days of age. Figure 1 graphically presents the proportional litter mortality. An increase in litter mortality in the MPF₂ is depicted during the second postnatal week. This rise is not apparent in the inter se parental crosses nor in the MPF₁. Scattered deaths in several litters of different matings accounted for this increase; thus, there is some indication that the mortality is related to hybridity of genotype. A chi-square contingency test, grouping the mortality by week and comparing the MPF₁ with the combined progeny of the other classes, indicated that the increased death rate of MPF₁ during the second week largely accounted for a significant chi-square value (P<.001). Loss of entire litters due to juvenile diarrhea or other causes accounted for certain high mortality values in the backcrosses.

Pronounced scurf was noted in many young, especially at the age of hair appearance. A certain amount of scaling is normal in young deer mice at this age, but in some it was severe and persisted for several days. Some scurfy young were noted among the offspring of all categories of matings, but they appeared more commonly in certain matings. Subsequent litters from the same matings sometimes differed in the degree of scurfing. However, no correlation between severe scurf and mating category was noted.

Sores and scabs, especially in the sternal region, appeared on certain young. These sternal lesions were noted in progeny from two of the MPF₁ × MPF₁

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross</th>
<th>Days of Age</th>
<th>Pre-5</th>
<th>5-6</th>
<th>7-8</th>
<th>9-10</th>
<th>11-12</th>
<th>13-14</th>
<th>15-16</th>
<th>17-18</th>
<th>19-20</th>
<th>21-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M × M</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P × P</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M × P</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P × M</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>.069</td>
<td>.157</td>
<td>.088</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>.020</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF₁ × MPF₁</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF₁ × MPF₁</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M × MPF₁</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>.057</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF₁ × M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF₁ × M</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF₁ × M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Litter mortality from birth to weaning
Upper entry: Absolute mortality
Lower entry: Proportion mortality

M designates P. maniculatus.
P designates P. polionotus.
MPF₁ designates progeny from female maniculatus×male polionotus.
matings, one of the female *P. polionotus* × male MPF₁, and one of the female *P. polionotus* × male *P. maniculatus*. In the first three instances, more than one young in different litters showed the sores. Such sores appeared about four or five days of age and were generally healed by fifteen days of age. These lesions did not appear to be bites or injuries from the parents, but had a characteristic appearance not seen elsewhere.

![Proportional litter mortality in parent species and F₁ hybrids.](image)

**FIGURE 1.** Proportional litter mortality in parent species and F₁ hybrids.

**DISCUSSION**

Relatively few of the observations in this study are directly comparable to those of previous investigations, since in most instances other workers have chosen different races of deer mice, and these may vary widely from one to another in onset time of developmental landmarks. In general, the observation of Svihla (1932) held true here, that the pinnae unfold after the first day but not later than the third day, following birth in members of the subgenus *Peromyscus*, of which *P. maniculatus* and *P. polionotus* are members. A more direct comparison can
be made in the case of the time at which eyes open, since both Svihla (1932) and King (1958) made observations on the race \textit{P. maniculatus bairdii}. Svihla found the mean time for eyes opening, based on six litters, to be 13.67 ± .38 days, while King found the mean age in a sample of the same race to be 12.1 days. The findings here compare favorably, in that eyes in \textit{P. m. bairdii} opened at a mean age of 13.21 ± .28 days.

Since there was no significant difference in the age of appearance of the developmental landmarks in the two parent types, except in the case of pinnae unfolding, no departure from the parental values in the hybrids would be expected under a multifactoral quantitative genetic model. This generally proved to be the case. Only in the reciprocal backcrosses of the MPF\textsubscript{1} to \textit{P. maniculatus} was a significant difference noted in the time of pinnae unfolding and perhaps in age of pelage appearance. In this case, a maternal factor may have accounted for the difference. The data suggest that MPF\textsubscript{1} mother produces young which develop at a slightly accelerated rate, compared with the progeny from the reciprocal cross. Whether this can be related to reciprocal differences in size inheritance observed in this cross (Dawson, 1965) is conjectural.

The increased mortality of the MPF\textsubscript{1} young during the second postnatal week is sufficient to reduce litter size at weaning to a level below that of the parental types, although litter size at birth is intermediate. Factors which affect litter survival may have relevance in physiological isolation between related species, since the hybrid has a reduced probability of survival to weaning age.
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