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During the course of recent investigations into the growth of liverworts, it was found that the information pertaining to the nutrient solutions, which had been used, was widely scattered and often difficult to find. In the following an attempt has been made to give a brief survey of this material in such a way that the composition of the various media may be compared and evaluated. In several instances when the quantities were not given in grams per liter, this data has been added in parentheses in a third column, for the purpose of conformity and comparison. The arrangement is chronological.

Marchal (1906) reported that he had cultured Cephalozia byssacea successfully on the following nutrient solution:

- \( \text{NH}_4 (\text{NO}_3) \) : 1.00 g.
- \( \text{K}_2\text{SO}_4 \) : 0.50 g.
- \( \text{CaSO}_4 \) : 0.50 g.
- \( \text{MgSO}_4 \) : 0.50 g.
- \( \text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 \) : 0.50 g.
- \( \text{Fe} (\text{SO}_4)_3 \) : 0.01 g.
- Distilled water : 1000.00 ml.

Adjusted to pH 7.0 with 10 per cent KOH.

Dachnowski (1907) used Knop's solution modified in concentrations of 0.1 per cent to 0.4 per cent, with 0.3 per cent used most often, for culturing Marchantia polymorpha in the study of the development of rhizoids and the formation of gemmae. This was made up of the following:

- \( \text{MgSO}_4 \) : 0.0075 g.
- \( \text{Ca} (\text{NO}_3)_2 \) : 0.0300 g.
- \( \text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 \) : 0.0075 g.
- \( \text{KCl} \) : 0.0036 g.
- \( \text{FeCl}_3 \) : trace
- Distilled water : 1000.00 ml.

Osterhout (1907) used two nutrient solutions for the culturing of gemmae of Lunularia successfully for 200 days,—the duration of the experiment.

**Nutrient Solution A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NaCl} )</td>
<td>1000 cc (5.4803 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgCl}_2 )</td>
<td>78 cc (0.6964 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgSO}_4 )</td>
<td>38 cc (0.4286 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{KCl} )</td>
<td>22 cc (0.1538 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 cc (0.1040 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>(1000 ml.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On solution A, which was diluted artificial sea water, there was a 1204 per cent increase in the length of the thallus. Another solution (solution B) which he also used gave almost equal results, a 980 per cent increase in the length of the Lunularia thallus.

**Nutrient Solution B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NaCl} )</td>
<td>1000 cc (5.4803 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{KCl} )</td>
<td>22 cc (0.1538 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 cc (0.1040 g.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>(1000 ml.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I wish to express my appreciation to Dr. Margaret Fulford for much helpful criticism in reading the manuscript.

The artificial sea water was prepared from Van't Hoff's formula which has the same constituents but at \( \frac{3}{8} \) M. strength.
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Killian (1911) reported favorable results using a nutrient solution devised by Marchal for the study of cultures of hepatics. This included the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NH}_4(\text{NO}_3) & : 1.00 \text{ g.} \\
\text{K}_2(\text{SO}_4) & : 0.50 \\
\text{Mg}(\text{SO}_4) & : 0.50 \\
\text{Ca}(\text{SO}_4) & : 0.50 \\
\text{(NH}_4\text{)}_3\text{PO}_4 & : 0.50 \\
\text{Fe}(\text{SO}_4)_3 & : 0.01 \\
\text{Distilled water} & : 1000.00 \text{ ml.}
\end{align*}
\]

Buch (1920) reported good results with a nutrient solution which he had used in a morphological and physiological study of *Sphenolobus Michauxi*, *Pellia epiphylla*, *Blepharozia ciliaris*, and *Cephalosia bicuspidata*. It contained the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 & : 0.80 \text{ g.} \\
\text{MgSO}_4 & : 0.30 \\
\text{CaCl}_2 & : 0.30 \\
\text{FeCl}_3 & : \text{trace} \\
\text{Distilled water} & : 1000.00 \text{ ml.}
\end{align*}
\]

For his studies with the protonema of these species, Buch altered his nutrient solution and made a solid medium with the addition of agar as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{KNO}_3 & : 0.12 \text{ per cent. (1.20 g.)} \\
\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 & : 0.08 \text{ (0.80 g.)} \\
\text{MgSO}_4 & : 0.03 \text{ (0.30 g.)} \\
\text{CaCl}_2 & : 0.03 \text{ (0.30 g.)} \\
\text{FeCl}_3 & : \text{trace} \\
\text{Agar} & : 2.00 \text{ (20.0 g.)} \\
\text{Distilled water} & : (1000 \text{ ml.})
\end{align*}
\]

Lilienstern (1927) used both Uspenski's and Detmer's solutions plus two per cent agar for culturing *Marchantia polymorpha* in a morphological and physiological study. The composition of these two nutrient solutions is given below:

**Uspenski Nutrient Solution**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{KNO}_3 & : 0.02500 \text{ g.} \\
\text{MgSO}_4 & : 0.02500 \\
\text{Ca(NO}_3)_2 & : 0.10000 \\
\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 & : 0.02500 \\
\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 & : 0.03450 \\
\text{Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 & : 0.00125 \\
\text{Distilled water} & : 1000.00 \text{ ml.}
\end{align*}
\]

pH of nutrient solution 7.6.

**Detmer Nutrient Solution**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ca(NO}_3)_2 & : 1.00 \text{ g.} \\
\text{MgCl}_2 & : 0.25 \\
\text{MgSO}_4 & : 0.25 \\
\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 & : 0.25 \\
\text{FeCl}_3 & : \text{trace} \\
\text{Distilled water} & : 1000.00 \text{ ml.}
\end{align*}
\]

The pH of the solution 6.8.

Ehring (1934) used the following four solutions on *Marchantia polymorpha*, *Lunularia cruciata*, and *Riccia fluitans* with success.

**“a” Nutrient Solution**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NaNO}_3 & : 0.0200 \text{ per cent. (0.200 g.)} \\
\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} & : 0.0100 \text{ (0.100 g.)} \\
\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} & : 0.0100 \text{ (0.100 g.)} \\
\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 & : 0.0100 \text{ (0.100 g.)} \\
\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} & : 0.0005 \text{ (0.005 g.)} \\
\text{Distilled water} & : (1000 \text{ ml.})
\end{align*}
\]

(Approximate salt concentration 0.05 per cent.)
Müller (1939) reported a nutrient solution used by Lorbeer which is a nutrient agar modification of the one used by Benecke.

Also in the same year, Griggs (1939) reported a nitrogen free solution on which he had cultured Cephalozia byssacea successfully for three years. The solution was a modification of one of the three salt nutrient solutions devised by Shive, but with only two-fifths the concentration.

Voth and Hamner (1940) used the following nutrient solution successfully in a physiological study of Marchantia polymorpha.
Since then, we have successfully cultured plants of *Leucolejeunea clypeata*, for five months, on the nutrient solution described by Voth and Hamner above.

Voth (1941) later suggested the following nutrient solution as the one best for culturing *Marchantia polymorpha*.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{cc of 0.5 Molar} \\
&\text{KNO}_3 \quad 1.6 \quad (0.0808 \text{ g.}) \\
&\text{Ca(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \quad 1.4 \quad (0.1148 \text{ g.}) \\
&\text{Mg (NO}_3\text{)}_2 \quad 1.2 \quad (0.0890 \text{ g.}) \\
&\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \quad 0.8 \quad (0.0544 \text{ g.}) \\
&\text{MgSO}_4 \quad 1.6 \quad (0.0862 \text{ g.}) \\
&\text{Distilled water} \quad (1000 \text{ ml.})
\end{align*}
\]

Very recently Prat (1948) has reported cultivation of various hepaticae on the following mineral nutrient agar:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \quad 0.200 \text{ g.} \\
&\text{CaCl}_2 \quad 0.100 \\
&\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \quad 0.100 \\
&\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad 0.100 \\
&\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad 0.005 \\
&\text{Agar} \quad 8.00 \\
&\text{Distilled water} \quad 1000.00 \text{ ml.}
\end{align*}
\]

Prat also stated that *Riella* was cultured in erlenmeyer flasks on sand to which the above nutrient solution was added, minus the agar.
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