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Objectives

Participants will:
1. Become familiar with a group coaching curriculum based on contemporary theories of health behavior change that can be implemented in other university settings.
2. Be able to identify the individual and organizational values of health behavior change coaching.
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Background

Interpersonal relationship with coach

Client-centered
Content education
Self-discovery/ Active learning
Accountability
Goal setting

Interpersonal relationship with coach

Health Behavior Change Coaching

Desired Outcome: Build Autonomy & self efficacy

Summary of Evidence

• Hill et al, 2015 (systematic review)
  • Health coaching effective for changing health behaviors & improving health outcomes
• Armstrong et al, 2013 (group coaching)
  • Group coaching less expensive and more efficient than 1-on-1 coaching
  • Little research exists on group coaching
• Dufour et al, 2014 (physical therapists provide group coaching)
  • 30-min coaching sessions 2x/week for 8 weeks with a PT & certified health coach
  • Supervised group exercise and mindfulness-based stress reduction
  • Topics: physical activity, nutrition, weight management, stress, sleep, flare ups and fatigue, fear and emotions
  • Sample: n = 17; mean age 63.3 with average of 3.2 chronic conditions
  • Outcomes: reduced fatigue, improved physical activity, improved walking speed

Moore et al, 2016
Study Setting

Texas State University
Employee Wellness Program

2014: 514 members
2017: 1079 current or former members

San Marcos, Texas

Demographics
Race/Ethnicity
• White 51%
• Hispanic 41%
• Other 8%

Median Income
• $27,600 (46% < state average)

Study Design
Quasi-experimental longitudinal study (15 weeks)
Subjects
• n=100 (Age 23-66, mean=47.8±10); Female (n=80) BMI=33.1; Male (n=20) BMI=31.6
• Moderate to high risk status based on health risk appraisal
• Physical fitness and psychological construct testing pre-post
• Employee wellness program components

Group Coaching Curriculum: Session 1

Content
• Intrinsic vs. extrinsic motivation (SDT)
• Connecting values to health behaviors (SDT)
• Pros vs. cons of adopting physical activity (TTM)
• Explanation of fitness test results
• Physical activity guidelines
• Wellness program offerings

Homework/Activities
• Goal setting related to weekly physical activity
• Identifying an accountable buddy/friend
• Schedule physical activity on calendar
• Create and review daily a 3 x 5 card listing benefits of physical activity
Group Coaching Curriculum: Session 2

Content
- Developing habits
- Trigger/reminder (TTM)
- Routine/behavior
- Reward (TTM)
- Mental imagery (TTM)
- Addressing self-talk (TTM)
- Types of rewards (extrinsic vs. intrinsic) (SDT)
- Problem-solving of challenges/barriers (SDT and TTM)
- Physical fitness components

Homework/Activities
- Research about a type of physical activity you haven’t done and are interested in pursuing
- Mental imagery – imagine yourself as a physically active person
- Being mindful about self-talk and reframing negative self-talk
- Physical fitness self-assessment to determine if you are addressing all 5 components of physical fitness

Group Coaching Curriculum: Session 3

Content
- Review of intrinsic vs. extrinsic motivation (SDT)
- Review of habits (TTM)
- Strategies for addressing lapses, boredom (TTM)
- Summer wellness program offerings
- General question and answer

Homework/Activities
- Worksheet to work through a lapse
- Weekly goal setting worksheet

Study Outcome Variables

- Transtheoretical Model
  - Stage of change
  - Self-efficacy for PA
  - Decisional balance
  - Processes of change

- Self-Determination Theory
  - Perceived competence
  - Treatment self-regulation (autonomy)
  - Healthcare climate score (relatedness)

- Health-Related Fitness Biometrics
  - VO2 max (estimated)
  - Blood pressure, resting heart rate
  - Fat mass, fat-free mass, % body fat, weight, BMI
  - Hand grip strength, push-ups, curl-ups, sit and reach test

- Statistical Analysis
  - Paired t-tests comparing pre- and post-coaching measures
  - Multiple regression analysis to predict self-efficacy

Study Results

- Participants (n=100)
  - 84 completed intervention
  - 77 completed
  - pre- and post-testing
  - Average attendance (n=77)
  - EWP services=24.8
  - Coaching sessions=2.26

Outcome Variables: Transtheoretical Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processes of Change</th>
<th>Pre-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Overall Change Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiential Processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing knowledge</td>
<td>3.222 (0.833)</td>
<td>3.451 (0.882)</td>
<td>+0.229 (0.744)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warning of Risks</td>
<td>2.750 (0.858)</td>
<td>2.872 (0.953)</td>
<td>+0.122 (1.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care about Consequence to Others</td>
<td>2.882 (0.678)</td>
<td>2.979 (0.938)</td>
<td>+0.097 (0.741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehending Benefits</td>
<td>3.941 (0.729)</td>
<td>3.955 (0.775)</td>
<td>+0.014 (0.587)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Healthy Opportunities</td>
<td>3.163 (0.709)</td>
<td>3.319 (0.815)</td>
<td>+0.156 (0.633)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substituting Alternatives</td>
<td>3.107 (0.690)</td>
<td>3.691 (0.804)</td>
<td>+0.584 (0.321)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enlisting Social Support</td>
<td>2.448 (1.005)</td>
<td>2.962 (1.274)</td>
<td>+0.514 (1.138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rewarding Yourself</td>
<td>2.561 (0.803)</td>
<td>3.306 (0.836)</td>
<td>+0.745 (0.860)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committing Yourself</td>
<td>3.722 (0.737)</td>
<td>4.101 (0.864)</td>
<td>+0.379 (0.845)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reminding Yourself</td>
<td>2.128 (0.945)</td>
<td>2.913 (0.830)</td>
<td>+0.784 (0.633)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05  **p<0.01
Outcome Variables: Transtheoretical Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Overall Change Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage of Change</td>
<td>2.773 (1.120)</td>
<td>3.909 (1.106)</td>
<td>+1.136 (1.391)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decisional Balance</td>
<td>1.831 (0.941)</td>
<td>2.013 (0.984)</td>
<td>+0.182 (0.953)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Efficacy</td>
<td>2.756 (0.666)</td>
<td>2.967 (0.847)</td>
<td>+0.211 (0.770)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01  ** p < 0.05

Outcome Variables: Self-Determination Theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-Test Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Overall Change Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Self-Regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Motivation</td>
<td>36.087 (5.845)</td>
<td>36.736 (5.634)</td>
<td>+0.649 (5.407)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Motivation</td>
<td>18.130 (6.301)</td>
<td>19.261 (7.638)</td>
<td>+1.130 (5.900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amotivation Motivation</td>
<td>6.377 (2.232)</td>
<td>6.205 (3.075)</td>
<td>-0.172 (2.584)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Competence</td>
<td>5.332 (1.372)</td>
<td>5.620 (1.314)</td>
<td>+0.287 (1.349)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Climate</td>
<td>3.860 (1.436)</td>
<td>4.800 (1.745)</td>
<td>+0.940 (1.759)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01  ** p < 0.05

Self-Determination Continuum

Multiple Regression of Post-Test Self-Efficacy and Participant Use of Program Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Beta Coefficient</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group Fitness Classes</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Coaching Sessions</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>2.026</td>
<td>0.047**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Swim</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Recreation Center</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1.734</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-on Cooking Class</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch and Learn Classes</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01  ** p < 0.05

Pre- and Post-Test Changes in Measures of Health-Related Physical Fitness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-Test</th>
<th>Post-Test</th>
<th>Overall Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>133.1 (16.7)</td>
<td>129.1 (15.6)</td>
<td>-4.1 (12.4)*</td>
<td>-2.5 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>80.6 (10.7)</td>
<td>78.5 (10.9)</td>
<td>-2.1 (7.8)*</td>
<td>-2.3 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting Heart Rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>76.6 (10.7)</td>
<td>73.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>-3.2 (7.1)</td>
<td>-3.6 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>100.3 (15.0)</td>
<td>99.3 (16.0)</td>
<td>-1.0 (14.1)</td>
<td>-1.0 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>83.7 (20.7)</td>
<td>82.7 (45.1)</td>
<td>-1.0 (20.8)</td>
<td>-1.2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>30.8 (7.2)</td>
<td>30.6 (7.3)</td>
<td>-0.2 (10.5)</td>
<td>-0.7 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body Fat</td>
<td>40.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>39.9 (8.9)</td>
<td>-1.0 (12.3)</td>
<td>-3.9 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated VO2 max (cc/kg/min)</td>
<td>25.6 (7.4)</td>
<td>28.1 (9.4)</td>
<td>2.8 (6.6)</td>
<td>14.0 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand Grip Strength</td>
<td>60.3 (17.1)</td>
<td>62.5 (17.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (9.9)</td>
<td>4.9 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push-Ups</td>
<td>4.4 (6.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (7.3)</td>
<td>-0.1 (4.4)</td>
<td>-5.4 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curl-Ups</td>
<td>12.6 (23.9)</td>
<td>27.8 (28.6)</td>
<td>15.4 (20.7)</td>
<td>166.3 (274.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit and Reach</td>
<td>25.3 (6.4)</td>
<td>27.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>2.5 (4.1)</td>
<td>13.5 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01  ** p < 0.05

Correlation Between Post-Test Self-Efficacy and both Pre-Test Self-Efficacy and Participant Use of Program Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pearson Coefficient</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Test Self-Efficacy</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Fitness Classes</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Coaching Sessions</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.012**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Swim</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Recreation Center</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands-on Cooking Class</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch and Learn Classes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01  ** p < 0.05

PEG 6/2/2017
Discussion

- Group health coaching was successful
  - Theory-based
    - TTM
      - Participants grouped by stage – tailoring of the coaching session
      - Strategies recommended based on processes of change
    - SDT
      - Creating structure to build competence
      - Providing choice to build autonomy
      - Building relationships to provide a supportive environment
  - Sense of community (Armstrong et al, 2013)
- Limitations
  - Did not measure changes in physical activity
  - No control group

Implications

- Universities have faculty resources with knowledge and expertise in:
  - Behavior change
  - Specific health behaviors
  - Adult education
  - Communication
- Awareness of healthy behaviors is not enough to create behavior change...
  - Employees need guidance and support
  - Group behavior change coaching is a worthwhile service to add to programs
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