BOOK REVIEWS


The Assistant Attorney General of the United States in charge of the Division of Antitrust Law Enforcement has written a book about his work. It is obviously his hope that the country may come to realize its importance, to look upon it with favor and to give it the necessary financial and moral support.

As the title suggests, he believes the basic problem of distribution to be one of removing the “bottlenecks” created by monopolistic control. Such bottlenecks are to be found in many industries which produce or process the necessaries of life. They thus have a profound effect upon the budget and standard of living of the average family. With refreshing frankness he describes the manner in which prices have been controlled in second-hand automobiles (p. 22), gasoline (p. 26), spectacles (p. 28), hosiery (p. 30), cigarettes (p. 34), and building materials (p. 38).

In the present crisis of preparedness, the Government itself is the consumer whose interests need protection. The book describes (p. 69) the situation with regard to optical glass used by the Army and Navy; also potash (p. 75) and other war materials (p. 77).

As a realist, Mr. Arnold takes account of the practical results to be obtained through alternative methods of dealing with the monopoly problems. One method is that of a broad plan of economic organization which theoretically would eliminate the bottlenecks and get the goods produced and distributed in proper quantities and at fair prices. His objection to this is that it is too much of a departure from that to which we are accustomed and smacks of totalitarianism. On the other hand, we have had antitrust laws for fifty years and their enforcement makes use of grand juries and regular courts— institutions with which we are familiar and in which we have confidence.

He attributes the failure of the antitrust laws to accomplish their purpose in the past to several factors. (1) There has been a mistaken notion that they were aimed at bigness and were intended to prevent large organizations from functioning regardless of their efficiency. He says that functioning in the public interest should be the criterion rather than size. (2) The Antitrust Division has been undermanned in view
of the magnitude of its job. At the beginning of the present Administra-
tion it included 18 men. Today it has approximately 200 (p. 276).
(3) Due in large part to the small staff, enforcement was previously
left to a great extent in private hands, which was not so good from
the public point of view.

The present methods of enforcement include widespread criminal
prosecutions aimed at all the restraints in a given industrial situation
with a follow-up by one or two men to preserve the gains so made.
The civil decree is also utilized to fix the limits beyond which collective
action may not go (p. 154).

The author justifies (Ch. XI) the application of antitrust laws to
labor organizations when they are acting against the public interest in
matters which are not reasonably connected with wages, hours, health,
safety or the right of collective bargaining.

The book will be criticized by purists because of its broad generaliza-
tions and its frequent quotation of "scare headlines" and other unortho-
dox devices. To this reviewer it is a most interesting description of
the philosophy and work of the present Antitrust Division. It is to be
hoped that it accomplished its purpose of enlisting public support for
that which can be a most important function of government.
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William Howard Taft, in an address before the American Political
Science Association in 1917, said, "The greatest question before the
American people is the administration of justice, civil and criminal,
both in the matter of its prompt dispatch and the cheapening of its use."
The same person also remarked that the "administration of criminal
law is a disgrace to our civilization." Obviously, questions of reform of
our legal system have been overshadowed by problems arising out of the
Great Depression and out of World War II. The administration of
justice is still the primary object for which government exists, however,
and reform of our legal system is still basic to progress in other fields
of governmental action. While it would not be correct to say that
judicial reform stands first in public demand today, it is, nevertheless,