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ABSTRACT

A series of 17 distinctive earthenware reed-stem tobacco pipes is described. Manufacturer and precise age are unknown, but the pipes are believed to be 19th Century American products. Several of these were originally described by Paul Cresthull (1969), who believed that they might be modern fakes produced for the antique market. Archaeological and historic occurrences eliminate this possibility, however.

INTRODUCTION

Cresthull (1969) described a series of 6 earthenware reed-stem tobacco pipes which had been purchased from an antique dealer. He describes these as "made of a gray clay that has an orange-brown stain applied to the surface." In 1973 I purchased a set of 15 of these pipes from a Warren County, Ohio, antique dealer who had in turn purchased them from a commercial supplier. No information is available on the source or origin of these pipes. No reference in the literature is known, other than Cresthull (1969), and, for convenience, these may be referred to as "Cresthull Pipes" until something of their manufacture is known.

Cresthull (1969:53) believed that his pipes might be modern "fakes" made from old molds, and I concurred in this opinion at the time that I purchased my set; close examination of the specimens and the discovery of two undoubtedly genuine pipes of the same type, however, render this hypothesis extremely unlikely.

DESCRIPTION

The pipes are light brownish-orange in color, the result of a thin slip which may have been added after firing rather than before. The craftsmanship is of a very low quality, the pipes being badly smudged and marred, covered with fingerprints, and with no attempt made to remove the seam (mold mark). The pipes are very soft, and the orange slip is easily removed by the slightest amount of wear. Even the unused (purchased) pipes have the coloring removed in spots. The pipes are made of a very light colored clay containing large amounts of fine quartz grains, much like clay used in Pamplin, Virginia, pipes, though it is not believed that these pipes are the product of the Pamplin industry.

Salient characteristics of the various designs are shown on the accompanying illustrations and need not be detailed here. Measurements of the first 5 illustrated pipes (Figs. 1-12) have been given by Cresthull (1969: Table 2) and are not repeated here. Cresthull also illustrates 2 additional pipe styles, a soldier and a bearded man, which are not available in the present collection.
### TABLE 1: MEASUREMENTS.
All measurements of "Cresthull" pipes in millimeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure No.</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Bowl Dia. (inside)</th>
<th>Bore Dia.</th>
<th>Stem Dia.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-18</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-22</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-25</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-27</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 21 and 22 illustrate a Queen Victoria figurine pipe different from the one illustrated by Cresthull (1969: Fig. 3; this paper, Figs. 4-6), a representation of her during middle or old age, suggesting a post 1860 period of manufacture. Measurements for this and the remaining "Cresthull" pipes are given above in Table No. 1.

The pipe illustrated in Figures 26 and 27 was purchased at a flea market and is known to have come from a farm house near Gustavus, Trumbull County, Ohio. It is greatly worn from use and is undoubtedly genuine. Additional evidence that these pipes do not represent modern reproductions is the specimen illustrated in Figures 30 and 31. It obviously is a minor variation of the type illustrated in Figure 29.
FIG. II: "CRESTHULL PIPES"
Cresthull Pipes (Murphy)

(a purchased specimen) but was found on a late 19th Century-20th Century historic site (Edinburg, Pennsylvania, limestone quarry) by Richard Gartley.

CONCLUSIONS

The "Cresthull" pipes illustrated in this note probably were manufactured after 1870 and before 1900. Evidence for their period of manufacture is slender at best, however, and there is no evidence as to place of manufacture. They are believed to be cheap American imitations of French clay pipes (Fresco-Corbu: 1962). Further work may eventually shed light on their precise age and origin.
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NEW BOOK

AN ARCHEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE HOCKING VALLEY by James L. Murphy, Photos, maps, illustrations, tables, etc. 360 pages. Price $15.00 Order from Ohio University Press, Athens, Ohio 45701.

In this detailed study of the archaeology of the Hocking Valley James Murphy re-evaluates and summarizes explorations in the light of current knowledge. He discusses the prehistory of Ohio's Hocking Valley through six major time periods: Paleo-Indian, Archaic, Early Woodland, Middle Woodland, Late Woodland, and Late Prehistoric. In addition he reports on his personal findings during the 15 years he has been doing archaeological surveys and excavations. There are detailed reports on the excavation of three Adena mounds, two Fort Ancient village sites, and several multi-component rock shelters.

James Murphy has made a deliberate effort to present archaeological finds of interest to both professional archaeologists and the layman, and in terms understandable to both. This has been coupled with an attempt to distinguish clearly between the presentation of fact and the presentation of opinion. An excellent job.