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In this issue, the Ohio State Law Journal presents a symposium on one of the more difficult areas of antitrust law—the attempt to monopolize. This hybrid charge, lying somewhere between a completed act and a mere plan, has uniformly bred confusion among the bench and bar regarding the requirements for recovery.

The authors in this symposium, Messrs. Hibner and Blecher and Ms. Stegman, respected practicing attorneys from Los Angeles, and Professor Adelman, Professor of Law at Wayne State University, analyze from a legal and economic perspective some of the more troublesome problems presented by a charge of attempt to monopolize. It is our hope that this symposium will contribute to a more studied analysis and better understanding of this problem child of antitrust law.
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